Public Document Pack

Cherwell District Council

Executive

Minutes of a meeting of the Executive held at Bodicote House, Bodicote, Banbury, OX15 4AA, on 3 April 2017 at 6.30 pm

Present: Councillor Barry Wood (Chairman), Leader of the Council

Councillor G A Reynolds (Vice-Chairman), Deputy Leader of

the Council

Councillor Ken Atack, Lead Member for Financial Management

Councillor Colin Clarke, Lead Member for Planning Councillor John Donaldson, Lead Member for Housing Councillor Tony Ilott, Lead Member for Public Protection Councillor Mike Kerford-Byrnes, Lead Member for Change

Management, Joint Working and ICT

Councillor Kieron Mallon, Lead Member for Banbury Futures

Councillor D M Pickford, Lead Member for Housing Councillor Lynn Pratt, Lead Member for Estates and the

Economy

Also Councillor Sean Woodcock, Leader of the Labour Group

Present: Councillor Barry Richards

Officers: Ian Davies, Interim Head of Paid Service

Scott Barnes, Director of Strategy and Commissioning

Karen Curtin, Commercial Director

Kevin Lane, Head of Law and Governance / Monitoring Officer

Paul Sutton, Chief Finance Officer / Section 151 Officer Claire Taylor, Assistant Director - Commercial and Innovation Sean Gregory, Environmental Protection Officer, for agenda item 7

Natasha Clark, Interim Democratic and Elections Manager

150 **Declarations of Interest**

10. Update: Construction Apprenticeships and Skills.

Councillor Barry Wood, Non Statutory Interest, as a Board Member of the Apprenticeship Training Agency (ATA).

Councillor John Donaldson, Non Statutory Interest, as a Board Member of the Apprenticeship Training Agency (ATA).

17. Asset Disposal - Southam Road Cemetery Depot, Banbury.

Councillor Barry Richards, Non Statutory Interest, as a member of Banbury Town Council which had been involved is discussions on the matter.

Councillor Colin Clarke, Non Statutory Interest, as a member of Banbury Town Council which had been involved is discussions on the matter.

Councillor John Donaldson, Non Statutory Interest, as a member of Banbury Town Council which had been involved is discussions on the matter.

Councillor Kieron Mallon, Non Statutory Interest, as a member of Banbury Town Council which had been involved is discussions on the matter.

Councillor Sean Woodcock, Non Statutory Interest, as a member of Banbury Town Council which had been involved is discussions on the matter.

Councillor Tony Ilott, Non Statutory Interest, as a member of Banbury Town Council which had been involved is discussions on the matter.

151 Petitions and Requests to Address the Meeting

There were no petitions or requests to address the meeting.

152 Urgent Business

There were no items of urgent business.

153 **Minutes**

The minutes of the meeting held on 6 March 2017 were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

154 Chairman's Announcements

There were no Chairman's announcements.

155 Air Quality Action Plan

The Public Protection Manager submitted a report to approve the Air Quality Action Plan for Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington.

Resolved

- (1) That the Air Quality Action Plan (annex to the Minutes as set out in the Minute Book) be approved.
- (2) That the feedback from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee be noted.

Reasons

The production of an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) is mandatory once an order to declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) has been issued. The Council has issued an order for each of the four AQMAs declared.

Alternative options

Option 1: The Council could choose not to adopt an AQAP. However once an AQMA has been declared the Council is required to produce an AQAP and so for this reason this is not an alternative option.

156 Local Development Scheme

The Head of Strategic Planning and the Economy submitted a report to seek approval of an updated Local Development Scheme (LDS) for the production of the Council's planning policy documents.

Resolved

(1) That the updated Local Development Scheme (LDS) (annex to the Minutes as set out in the Minute Book) be approved.

Reasons

An updated Local Development Scheme (LDS) has been prepared. It provides a programme for the preparation of the Council's key planning policy documents that will be relevant to future planning decisions and, where applicable, the public examination of those documents. The Council has a statutory responsibility to prepare and maintain an LDS. The LDS will be used by officers, the public, partners, developers and other stakeholders to monitor the production of documents to plan for associated consultations. Approval of the LDS is needed to assist project management and ensure that the Council meets its statutory responsibilities for plan-making.

Alternative options

Option 1: Not to approve the LDS

The Council has a statutory responsibility to maintain an LDS. Not to approve the LDS could undermine the confidence of the public and stakeholders about the Council's plan-making programme. The LDS would need to be represented to the Executive at a future meeting or to the Lead Member for Planning.

Option 2: To reconsider the content of the LDS
The LDS has been prepared having regard to the Council's statutory
responsibilities and current resources. It is considered by officers to be
appropriate for the present and foreseeable circumstances.

157 Empty Homes Project Update

The Commercial Director submitted a report to provide Members with an update on the first 12 months work of the Empty Homes Project, based within the Private Sector Housing Team.

Resolved

- (1) That the progress of owner engagement with the project be noted.
- (2) That the proposals for use of enforcement powers to bring empty properties back into use be noted.
- (3) That the added value of addressing vacant properties to the Council be noted.

Reasons

The Empty Homes Project has successfully engaged with owners of the longest term void properties. Whilst it should be noted that these properties will take time to come back into use, owners do now have plans and are positively pursuing their options.

It is hoped that through regular engagement with owners of homes that are just 6 months properties will not become stuck, falling into disrepair and causing concern for neighbours and wider amenity issues.

The estimated income from the first year of the Empty Homes Project is in the region of £75,960 from New Homes Bonus over the 6 year payment period. This is as a result of tackling the longest-term void properties and supporting owners where there has been no activity at the property for a long period.

Alternative options

None

158 Update: Construction Apprenticeships and Skills

The Commercial Director and Head of Strategic Planning and the Economy submitted a report to provide an update on the progress being made to secure construction apprenticeships arising from the planned growth across the District. It is a year since the Executive approved guidance which was intended to operate informally to secure construction apprenticeships and skills through the processing of planning applications by the Council and was therefore timely to review how the initiative has been progressing.

Resolved

(1) That the report be noted.

Reasons

Increasing the number of new apprenticeships in England is a high profile Government objective. Cherwell District Council supports this aspiration. The amount of new development taking place in the District over the next 20 years or so, coupled with the evidenced shortage of construction skills provides both an incentive and opportunity to secure the provision of new construction related apprenticeships through the land use planning system.

The Cherwell District Council Local Plan Part 1 as well as CDC's Economic Strategy contains strategic aspirations relating to the need to support an increase in skills and training within the District. The Interim Position Statement has usefully provided detail for developers and colleagues in CDC's Development Management on how this overarching strategic aim can be achieved through the land use planning process, prior to having statutory planning policy in place.

The signals from informal discussions with developers to date are that they generally support the approach CDC is promoting, as a helpful initiative designed both to increase the number of local skilled construction operatives available to support the building industry, as well as promoting the construction trades generally as a valuable future career path for young people.

The setting up and operating of The Apprenticeship and Training Company to manage apprenticeships on behalf of developers and their contractors is a key element of delivering the apprenticeships. This is because it will make the whole process easier for developers by managing all the elements that go towards supporting an individual towards the successful completion of an apprenticeship. Given that CDC is the accountable body for the OXLEP grant, as well as its strategic commitment to support skills and training, it is appropriate that there is CDC representation on the Board.

Alternative options

This report is submitted for information only.

159 Final Business Case: Joint Housing Services

The Commercial Director submitted a report which presented the final business case for a joint working in Housing Services across Cherwell District and South Northamptonshire Councils which was part of the wider transformation programme across the two Councils.

The report recommended the formation of a Joint Private Sector Housing Service and a Joint Housing Service and in doing so sought the Executive's agreement for the non-staffing elements of the business cases. The staffing elements of the business case had been agreed by the Joint Commissioning Committee at their meeting of 30 March 2017

Resolved

- (1) That, having given due consideration, the final business case for Joint Housing Services and the consultation responses in relation to nonstaffing matters be noted.
- (2) That it be noted that the business case was considered and approved by the Joint Commissioning Committee with regard to staffing matters at its meeting of 30 March 2017 and that this included consideration of the consultation responses from affected staff and trade union representatives.
- (3) That the proposed final business case to create a Joint Private Sector Housing Service and a Joint Housing Service between Cherwell District Council and South Northamptonshire Council (SNC), subject to similar consideration and approval by SNC Cabinet on 10 April 2017 and following approval of the staffing implications by the Joint Commissioning Committee be approved for implementation.
- (4) That authority be delegated to the Commercial Director in consultation with the Leader of the Council to make any non-significant amendment that may be required to the business case following the decision by SNC Cabinet.
- (5) That it be noted that the savings realised from this proposal will be held in reserve and earmarked for Housing to provide additional resources that may be required to cope with any increased demand resulting from recent legislative changes.

Reasons

The business case sets out the rationale for establishing the Joint Private Sector Housing Team and a Joint Housing Service across CDC and SNC.

Alternative options

The approach in the recommendations is believed to be the best way forward. The proposal is to establish a Joint Private Sector Housing Service and a Joint Housing Service.

160 Improvements to the Council's Car Parking Service

The Director of Operational Delivery submitted a report to consider the approach taken to improve the Council's car parking service and to consider the effect where known of the Council's free parking offers.

Resolved

(1) That the nature of the proposed new service and the focus on improvements for customers be supported.

- (2) That the outcomes of the review of the 2016/17 free parking promotions be noted.
- (3) That the continued use of free parking promotions for Small Business Saturday in December and Free After three as a means of supporting Bicester and Banbury Town Centre traders be supported.
- (4) That a tariff review be undertaken in 2018 to be informed by the data gathered over the previous 12 months arising from the new car parking service should a decision be taken to award a contract under the exempt agenda item 14.

Reasons

The Council has approached the procurement process in a way which delivers an improved car parking service, the nature of which has been influenced by customer and trader feedback. Therefore, the new service has been procured with the requirement for the Council's ageing equipment to be replaced with modern technology which permits a greater number of payment options and more flexible payment options for customers so that they can pay on exit if they wish or even after they have left the car park for some car parks.

Both the Council's free parking offers in 2016 and 2017 for different reasons have supported the better use of town centres and have therefore been included in the Council's new service requirements over the term of this contract.

Given the position of very limited data regarding car parks' utilisation levels, it is proposed that a review of the tariffs be undertaken in 2018 when the Council will be much better informed by data from the new technology to be installed in 2017. This will also provide the new operator with the opportunity to consider against utilisation levels the current tariff structure and levels and any suggestions for change. This broad timescale would also fit with a potential area of change arising from the emerging Banbury Business Improvement District (BID) proposal.

Alternative options

The procurement and technology proposals are outlined in the report. The only other alternative to this approach is to retain the service in house. This is not proposed as it is expected that the Council will achieve better value from an external operator and it does not have the technical expertise associated with the modern car parking technology.

161 Exclusion of the Press and Public

Resolved

That under Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972, the public and press be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the ground that, if the public and press were present, it would be likely that

exempt information falling under the provisions of Schedule 12A, Part 1, Paragraphs 1, 2, 3 and 4 would be disclosed to them, and that in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

162 Final Business Case: Joint Housing Services - Exempt Appendix

Resolved

(1) That the exempt appendix be noted.

163 Improvements to the Council's Car Parking Service - Evaluation of Tenders and Contract Award

The Director of Operational Delivery submitted an exempt report to consider the outcome of the tender evaluation process and as a consequence of that, an award of contract.

Resolved

- (1) That, having given due consideration, the outcome of the tender evaluation process be noted.
- (2) That a five year management contract to operate the Council's car parks as outlined in exempt annex to the Minutes (as set out in the Minute Book) be approved and the replacement and modernisation of all relevant equipment be included in this contract.
- (3) That it be agreed to fund the majority the new equipment and associated works and the release of up to £500,000 capital expenditure for this purpose be approved.

Reasons

At the conclusion of a thorough competitive dialogue based procurement process, there is a clear recommendation of contract award which can deliver to the Council the customer focussed service improvements it is seeking along with some financial advantage to the Council after one off costs. It does require capital investment but that would be required in any event due to the age and need for replacement of the current equipment.

Alternative options

The Council can choose not to accept the winning tender and to then consider an alternative approach to delivering the service. This is not recommended as the winning tender is a compliant bid and delivers what the Council has been seeking.

164 **ICT Strategy 2017-2020**

The Commercial Director submitted a report which presented the joint Cherwell District and South Northamptonshire Councils' IT strategy for the period 2017-2020. The strategy set out the vision and direction for the development of an IT service that would ensure the councils were able to meet their strategic priorities, address customer needs and deliver their transformation objectives.

Resolved

- (1) That, following consideration of the strategy by the Member led IT Transition Board, review and endorsement of the strategy by the Joint Commissioning Committee, the draft strategy (exempt annex to the Minutes as set out in Minute Book) be agreed as the framework and plan for future development of the IT service.
- (2) That the investments required to deliver the strategy (as set out in the exempt annex to the Minutes as set out in the Minute Book, and agreed by the Chief Finance Officer) and the expected return on investment being realised within 2018/19 be noted.
- (3) That the high level forward plan (exempt annex to the Minutes as set out in the Minute Book) which would form the basis of the delivery plan for the period of the strategy be noted.

Reasons

Following review, research, staff and customer engagement an IT strategy has been developed that will deliver for both internal and external customers. The strategy sets out a long term vision for the service and a high level work plan by which to deliver the strategy's objectives. The strategy also sets out investment requirements and an expected return on this investment.

Alternative options

Alternative options: Reject the strategy and continue as is. This is not recommended, as detailed in the strategy, significant investment will be required to maintain the status quo with on-going revenue implications.

165 Asset Disposal - Southam Road Cemetery Depot, Banbury

The Commercial Director submitted an exempt report relating to an asset disposal, Southam Road Cemetery Depot, Banbury.

Resolved

(1) That the transfer of the Property to Banbury Town Council, subject to the payment of a commuted sum, on the terms referred in the exempt annex to the Minutes (as set out in the Minute Book) be noted and support confirmed.

| Reasons |
|---------|
|---------|

| As set out in the exempt minut | tes |
|--------------------------------|-----|
|--------------------------------|-----|

Alternative options

As set out in the exempt minutes

The meeting ended at 7.45 pm

Chairman:

Date:

Appendix 1



Cherwell District Council Air Quality Action Plan

In fulfilment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995
Local Air Quality Management

March 2017

| Local Authority Officer | Sean Gregory |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Department | Environmental Protection |
| Address | Bodicote House Whitepost Road Bodicote Banbury OX15 4AA |
| Telephone | 01295 227001 |
| E-mail | sean.gregory@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk |
| Report Reference number | sg 02 AQAP2017 AQ |
| Date | March 2017 |

Executive Summary

This Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) has been produced as part of our statutory duties required by the Local Air Quality Management framework. It outlines the action we will take to improve air quality in Cherwell between 2017 and 2020.

Air pollution is associated with a number of adverse health impacts. It is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease and cancer. Additionally, air pollution particularly affects the most vulnerable in society; children and older people, and those with heart and lung conditions. There is also often a strong correlation with equalities issues, because areas with poor air quality are also often the less affluent areas^{1,2}.

The annual health cost to society of the impacts of particulate matter alone in the UK is estimated to be around £16 billion³. Cherwell District Council is committed to reducing the exposure of people in Cherwell to poor air quality in order to improve health.

We have developed actions that can be considered under five broad topics:

- Policy guidance and development control
- Promoting low emission transport
- Promoting travel alternatives to private vehicle use
- Transport planning and infrastructure
- Public information

Our priorities are:

- Priority 1 Strengthening local policy to improve air quality and its role in protecting health;
- Priority 2 Reducing NO_x emissions from cars in all AQMAs;
- Priority 3 Ensuring new developments encourage and facilitate low emission and alternative transport;
- Priority 4 Ensuring transport infrastructure delivery takes account of air quality improvement potential within AQMAs;
- Priority 5 Raising awareness of poor air quality and encouraging improvement actions by vehicle users and fleet managers.

¹ Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status and respiratory health, 2010

² Air quality and social deprivation in the UK: an environmental inequalities analysis, 2006

³ Defra. Abatement cost guidance for valuing changes in air quality, May 2013

In this AQAP we outline how we plan to effectively tackle air quality issues. It is recognised that Public Health and Highways Authority matters are beyond Cherwells direct control and partnership working to deliver the measures outlined is essential.

We recognise that there are a large number of air quality policy areas that are further outside of our influence (such as vehicle emissions standards agreed in Europe), but for which we may have useful evidence, and so we will continue to work with regional and central government on related policies and issues.

Responsibilities and Commitment

This AQAP was prepared by the Public Protection Service of Cherwell District Council with the support and agreement of the following departments:

Cherwell District Council:

- Public Protection
- Environmental Protection Team
- Bicester Delivery Team
- Procurement Team
- Planning Policy Team
- Corporate Performance Team
- Communications Team

Oxfordshire County Council:

Oxford, Cherwell and West Locality Team

The draft AQAP (August 2016) was approved to go to public consultation by the Councils Executive at their meeting on 3 September 2016.

An online survey was launched and ran from 12 October 2016 to 8 January 2017. The survey format and text is shown in Appendix C. Consultees were invited to participate via links in press releases, the council website, emails, letters and social media. The responses to this online survey are presented in Appendix D.

This AQAP will be subject to an annual review and appraisal of progress each year will be reported in the Annual Status Reports (ASRs) produced by Cherwell District,

as part of our statutory Local Air Quality Management duties, and to the Councils Executive.

If you have any comments on this AQAP please write to us using the following details and quoting AQAP in the title / header:

Email: airquality@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

Address:

Environmental Protection Bodicote House Whitepost Road

Bodicote Banbury OX15 4AA

Table of Contents

| | xecutiv | ve Summary | i |
|---|---------|------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| | Respo | nsibilities and Commitment | ii |
| 1 | Intr | oduction | 1 |
| 2 | Sui | nmary of Current Air Quality in Cherwell District | 2 |
| 3 | Che | erwell District's Air Quality Priorities | 3 |
| | 3.1 | Public Health Context | 3 |
| | 3.2 | Planning and Policy Context | 5 |
| | 3.2. | 1 Cherwell Local Plan Part 1 | 5 |
| | 3.2. | 2 Cherwell Local Plan Part 2 | 6 |
| | 3.3 | Source Apportionment | 7 |
| | 3.3. | AQMA No.1 Hennef Way, Banbury - Source Apportionment | 8 |
| | 3.3. | 2 AQMA No.2 Banbury - Source Apportionment | 9 |
| | 3.3. | AQMA No.3 Bicester Road, Kidlington - Source Apportionment | 9 |
| | 3.3. | 4 AQMA No.4 Bicester - Source Apportionment | 10 |
| | 3.4 | Required Reduction in Emissions | 10 |
| | 3.4. | 1 AQMA No.1 Hennef Way, Banbury – Required Reduction | 11 |
| | 3.4. | 2 AQMA No.2 Banbury – Required Reduction | 11 |
| | 3.4. | 3 | |
| | 3.4. | 4 | |
| | 3.5 | Key Priorities | 11 |
| 4 | Dev | relopment and Implementation of Cherwell District AQAP | 13 |
| | 4.1 | Consultation and Stakeholder Engagement | 13 |
| | 4.2 | Steering Group | 14 |
| 5 | AQ | AP Measures | 15 |
| A | ppendi | x A: Reasons for Not Pursuing Action Plan Measures | 26 |
| A | ppendi | x B: Source Apportionment Calculations | 28 |
| A | ppendi | x C: Consultation - Online Survey | 29 |
| A | ppendi | x D: Survey Consultation Responses | 30 |
| G | lossar | y of Terms | 31 |

1 Introduction

This report outlines the actions that Cherwell District Council will deliver in order to reduce concentrations of air pollutants and exposure to air pollution; thereby positively impacting on the health and quality of life of residents and visitors to the Cherwell area.

It has been developed in recognition of the legal requirement on the local authority to work towards Air Quality Strategy (AQS) objectives under Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 and relevant regulations made under that part and to meet the requirements of the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) statutory process.

This Plan will be reviewed every five years at the latest and progress on measures set out within this Plan will be reported on annually within Cherwell District's air quality ASR.

2 Summary of Current Air Quality in Cherwell District

Cherwell District Council has identified four areas where air quality does not meet national air quality objectives for nitrogen dioxide. The locations of these four Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) can be found on our website at www.cherwell.gov.uk/airqualitymanagement. There are two in Banbury, one in Bicester and one in Kidlington. These concentrations are largely related to road traffic emissions.

AQMA No.1 in Hennef Way exceeds the annual and hourly mean objectives for nitrogen dioxide.

AQMA No.2 between Oxford Road to Southam Road, Banbury, including a section of High Street exceeds the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide.

AQMA No.3 on a section of Bicester Road, Kidlington to the north of the Water Eaton Lane controlled junction exceeds the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide.

AQMA No.4 between the mini roundabout in Kings End through Queens Avenue to the Field Street mini roundabout, including St Johns, exceeds the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide.

The latest monitoring indicates nitrogen dioxide concentrations are trending downwards in most places. This includes within the AQMAs, although concentrations in the AQMAs remain above the national air quality objective levels for nitrogen dioxide. Further information can be found in the latest Annual Status Report which can be downloaded at the website above. Monitoring locations and the latest monitoring data can also be found using the interactive map on https://oxfordshire.air-quality.info/.

3 Cherwell District's Air Quality Priorities

3.1 Public Health Context

Four AQMAs have been identified with people exposed to sufficiently poor air quality to require legal intervention under Environment Act 1995, which this action plan contributes to. Table 3.1 shows the number of residential properties within the AQMAs.

Table 3.1 – Residential properties within AQMAs

| AQMA | Description | Nitrogen Dioxide Concentration (µg/m³) ^a | Approximate No. residential properties within AQMA |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| AQMA No.1 | Hennef Way, Banbury | 59.8 | 3 |
| AQMA No.2 | Banbury | 40.9 | 86 |
| AQMA No.3 | Kidlington | 41.1 | 5 |
| AQMA No.4 | Bicester | 46.0 | 111 |

Notes:

These AQMAs are localised areas representing the worst affected places. The main source of pollutants in these AQMAs is traffic emissions. Traffic emissions are not localised i.e. journeys originating and terminating within the AQMA so measures to address emissions district-wide are collated as general measures.

It is anticipated that most general measures to reduce emissions will also contribute to reducing $PM_{2.5}$ emissions from vehicles.

Where local measures to reduce pollutant concentrations are identified, these measures have been related to that specific AQMA.

^a 2015 Concentration at relevant exposure reported in ASR 2016

Oxfordshire County Councils Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) provides information about Oxfordshire's population and the factors affecting health, wellbeing, and social care needs and can be found at http://insight.oxfordshire.gov.uk/cms/joint-strategic-needs-assessment

Air quality is included in Section 4.2.8 of the 2016 JSNA under the "Wider Determinants; Environment" section and recognises:

- -Poor air quality is known to have negative impacts on health.
- -In the more densely populated areas of the county, and those which experience high traffic flows, increased levels of air pollution are of concern. In these areas, road traffic is the most significant source of pollutant emissions.
- -There are currently 13 AQMAs in Oxfordshire, where the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide is being exceeded (four in Cherwell, one covering the whole of Oxford, three in South Oxfordshire, three in Vale of White Horse and two in West Oxfordshire).
- -Trends in air quality across some of Oxfordshire's long-standing AQMAs show signs of improvement, with reductions in concentrations of nitrogen dioxide over recent years. However, new AQMAs are still being identified.
- -Air Quality and Mortality Estimates in 2010 the UK Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants estimated that removing all man-made, particulate matter air pollution could save the UK population approximately 36.5 million life years over the next 100 years, and would be associated with an increase in UK life expectancy from birth, of six months on average.
- -The calculated attributable proportion of deaths associated with air pollution, among those aged 25 and over in Oxfordshire, was 5.6% in 2010. However, given the uncertainties this could, in fact, be somewhere between 0.9% and 11%. For 2013 it was estimated that 5.3% of all-cause mortality among people aged 30 and over in Oxfordshire was attributable to particulate air pollution from man-made sources. This value has fluctuated between 5.1% and 5.6% over the years between 2010 and 2013 but it is not possible to tell whether or not changes are statistically significant.
- -The national and regional averages in 2013 were 5.3% (England) and 5.2% (South East). Meanwhile, the proportion of mortality attributable to man-made air pollution in the districts ranged from 5% (in West Oxfordshire) to 5.6% (in Oxford) with the other three districts at 5.3%.

-The quantification of mortality burden associated with long term nitrogen dioxide concentration exposure is not currently available.

3.2 Planning and Policy Context

3.2.1 Cherwell Local Plan Part 1

The Cherwell Local Plan Part 1 was adopted in July 2015. It sets out proposals to support the local economy and the community between 2011 and 2016. This can be downloaded from the Cherwell District Council website or by following this <u>link</u>.

Sustainable development is a key part of this Plan focussing proposed growth in and around Banbury and Bicester and limiting growth in rural areas. The Plan sets out planning policies grouped around three themes; Developing a Sustainable Local Economy, Building Sustainable Communities and Ensuring Sustainable Development. Section C outlines how these themes will be delivered in Bicester, Banbury, Kidlington and villages and rural areas.

The need to consider the effects of development on air quality, and how they can contribute towards improvements, is identified as a key challenge to ensuring sustainable development. Commuters in Cherwell travel relatively long distances to work and reducing travel by car and managing traffic congestion are identified as key challenges. Maximising the opportunity to shift from dependency on cars to sustainable modes of transport is also identified.

Relevant objectives and policies which may contribute to improvements in air quality within the AQMAs are referred to below. Further detail can be found in the adopted Local Plan.

The strategic objectives (SO) for ensuring sustainable development include minimising carbon emissions, promoting decentralised and renewable or low carbon energy where appropriate (SO11), reducing the dependency on the private car with increasing the attraction of public transport, cycling and travel by foot (SO13).

Policy SLE4 includes new developments to provide financial and/or in-kind contributions to mitigate the transport impacts of development. All development where reasonable to do so, should facilitate the use of sustainable modes of transport to make the fullest possible use of public transport, walking and cycling. Encouragement will be given to solutions which support reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and reduce congestion. Development which is not suitable for the

roads that serve the development and which have a severe traffic impact will not be supported.

Policies ESD 1 – ESD 5 address carbon emission reductions. These include a requirement that all new residential development will be expected to incorporate sustainable design and construction technology to achieve zero carbon development. All new non-residential development will be expected to meet at least BREEAM 'Very Good' (ESD 3). The encouragement of decentralising energy systems in developments e.g. district heating or combined heat and power (ESD 4). Support for renewable and low carbon provision wherever adverse impacts can be addressed satisfactorily is part of ESD 5.

Policy ESD10 includes the requirement for air quality assessments where development proposals would be likely to have a significantly adverse impact on biodiversity by generating an increase in air pollution.

Policy BSC 8 acknowledges the local environment has a fundamental impact on the health and well-being of local people. By providing facilities such as local open space this allows for activities such as walking and cycling, promoting healthy lifestyles.

Policy ESD 17 refers to providing opportunities for walking and cycling by maximising the opportunity to maintain and extend green infrastructure links and connecting the towns to the urban fringe and the wider countryside beyond.

Section C of The Cherwell Local Plan Part 1 contains the policies for Cherwells Places and includes detailed site-specific policies for large strategic developments. This includes a new zero-carbon mixed use development including 6000 homes at North West Bicester (Bicester 1: North West Bicester Eco-town).

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) is appended to the Local Plan Part 1 and details projects to facilitate the proposed development growth. Some of these will contribute to improvements in air quality within the AQMAs. The IDP is reviewed on an annual basis.

3.2.2 Cherwell Local Plan Part 2

Cherwell District Council is currently preparing Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 (Part 2) which will contain non-strategic site allocations and development management policies.

An Issues Consultation Paper was published in January 2016. Related documents can be on the Cherwell District Council website or following this <u>link</u>.

3.3 Source Apportionment

The AQAP measures presented in this report are intended to be targeted towards the predominant sources of emissions within Cherwell District's area.

Source apportionment exercises have been undertaken. These are presented in the following reports which can be found on www.cherwell.gov.uk/airqualitymanagement:

- Further Assessment Hennef Way (2013)
- Banbury Source Apportionment (2015)
- Kidlington Source Apportionment (2015)
- Detailed Assessment Bicester (2015)

The source apportionment aspects of these reports have been revised using the most recent emission factors (including petrol / diesel vehicle apportionment), background concentrations and monitoring results. The traffic survey data used is the same.

A summary of sources is shown in Table 3.2 below. The data used to inform these calculations is shown in Appendix B:

Table 3.2 Summarised NO₂ concentrations in AQMAs apportioned by source

| AQMA NO ₂ Concentration | _ | % NO ₂ by Source | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|----|
| | Background | Cars | LGVs | HGVs | Buses | |
| 1 (Hennef Way) | 59.8 μg/m ³ | 32% | 39% | 17% | 10% | 2% |
| 2 (Banbury) | 40.9 μg/m ³ | 32% | 39% | 13% | 10% | 6% |
| 3 (Kidlington) | 41.1 µg/m ³ | 35% | 41% | 9% | 6% | 9% |

| 4 | 46.0 μg/m ³ | 27% | 50% | 8% | 2% | 13% |
|------------|------------------------|-----|-----|----|----|-----|
| (Bicester) | | | | | | |

3.3.1 AQMA No.1 Hennef Way, Banbury - Source Apportionment

The source apportionment works reported in the 2013 Further Assessment for Hennef Way, Banbury were based on an exceedence of the annual mean objective not being predicted by the modelling undertaken. Uncertainties were identified in the monitoring i.e. significantly above the objective at the property boundary but significantly below at the property façade facing away from the roadside, which translated into the modelling. Subsequent monitoring at relevant exposure is reported in the Updating and Screening Assessment 2015 and Annual Status Report 2016. Monitoring at both facades, at different heights on the roadside façade and at the property boundary fence have been reported and show exceedences at the roadside façade. The worst of these exceedences has been used for the source apportionment in this AQMA.

The worst case NO_2 of 59.8 μ g/m³ is apportioned to:

- 6.3 μg/m³ NO₂ (10.5%) Regional Background
- \circ 12.9 $\mu g/m^3$ NO₂ (21.6%) Local Background
- \circ 23 µg/m³ NO₂ (38.5%) Cars of which,
 - 19.8 μg/m³ NO₂ (33.1%) Diesel Cars
- $\circ~10.2~NO_2\,\mu g/m^3~NO_2\,(17.1\%)$ Light Goods Vehicles
- o 6.1 μg/m³ NO₂ (10.2%) Heavy Goods Vehicles
- 1 μg/m³ NO₂ (1.7%) Buses

Cars are the main contributor (38%) to this NO_2 concentration. Diesel car emissions are attributed to the majority of these car related emissions with 33% of the total, 19.8 μ g/m³. This diesel car fraction is larger than the total background NO_2 concentrations of 19.2 μ g/m³.

Light goods vehicles, of which the majority are diesel, make up the next highest proportion (17.1%) of this concentration, with HGVs accounting for 10% and buses a much smaller fraction (1.7%).

3.3.2 AQMA No.2 Banbury - Source Apportionment

The worst case NO₂ of 40.9 µg/m³ is apportioned to:

- 8.9 μg/m³ NO₂ (21.8%) Regional Background
- ο 8.7 μg/m³ NO₂ (21.3%) Local Background
- \circ 19.2 µg/m³ NO₂ (46.9%) Cars of which,
 - 16.4 μg/m³ NO₂ (40.1%) Diesel Cars
- 6.3 μg/m³ NO₂ (15.4%) Light Goods Vehicles
- 5 μg/m³ NO₂ (12.2%) Heavy Goods Vehicles
- 2.8 μg/m³ NO₂ (6.8%) Buses

Cars are the main contributor (46.9%) to this NO_2 concentration. Diesel car emissions are attributed to the majority of these car related emissions with 40.1% of the total, 16.4 μ g/m³. The total background concentration of NO_2 (17.6 μ g/m³) is attributed to 43.1% of the total.

Light goods vehicles, of which the majority are diesel, make up the next highest proportion (15.4%) of this concentration, with HGVs accounting for 12.2% and buses a smaller fraction (6.8%).

3.3.3 AQMA No.3 Bicester Road, Kidlington - Source Apportionment

The worst case NO_2 of 41.1 μ g/m³ is apportioned to:

- 6.4 μg/m³ NO₂ (15.6%) Regional Background
- \circ 7.8 µg/m³ NO₂ (19.0%) Local Background
- $\circ~16.8~\mu g/m^3~NO_2~(40.9\%)$ Cars of which,
 - 14.3 μg/m³ NO₂ (34.8%) Diesel Cars
- \circ 3.5 $\mu g/m^3$ NO₂ (8.5%) Light Goods Vehicles
- \circ 2.6 $\mu g/m^3$ NO₂ (6.3%) Heavy Goods Vehicles

3.9 μg/m³ NO₂ (9.5%) Buses

Cars are the main contributor (40.9%) to this NO_2 concentration. Diesel car emissions are attributed to the majority of these car related emissions with 34.8% of the total, 14.3 μ g/m³. The total background concentration of NO_2 , 14.2 μ g/m³, is attributed to 34.8% of the total.

Buses make up the next highest proportion (9.5%) with 3.9 μ g/m³. Light goods vehicles make up the next highest proportion (8.5%) of this concentration, with HGVs accounting for a lower fraction of 6.3%.

3.3.4 AQMA No.4 Bicester - Source Apportionment

The worst case NO_2 of 46.0 μ g/m³ is apportioned to:

- 6.6 μg/m³ NO₂ (14.3%) Regional Background
- 5.9 μg/m³ NO₂ (12.8%) Local Background
- 22.8 μg/m³ NO₂ (49.6%) Cars of which,
 - 19.5 μg/m³ NO₂ (42.4%) Diesel Cars
- \circ 3.6 $\mu g/m^3$ NO₂ (7.8%) Light Goods Vehicles
- $\circ~0.8~\mu g/m^3~NO_2\,(1.7\%)$ Heavy Goods Vehicles
- 6.2 μg/m³ NO₂ (13.5%) Buses

Cars are the main contributor (46.9%) to this NO_2 concentration. Diesel car emissions are attributed to the majority of these car related emissions with 42.4% of the total, 19.5 μ g/m³. The total background concentration of NO_2 (12.5 μ g/m³) is attributed to 27.1% of the total.

Buses, $6.2 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$, make up the next highest proportion (13.5%) of this concentration with light goods vehicles accounting for 7.8 % and HGVs a much smaller fraction (1.7%).

3.4 Required Reduction in Emissions

The required reduction in emissions has been calculated in line with Defras statutory Technical Guidance document (LAQM.TG16) to determine the road NOx reduction

required to meet the annual mean air quality objective of $40 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3 \,\text{NO}_2$. It is anticipated that this reduction will also achieve the hourly mean objective. Total oxides of nitrogen (NOx) are used for the required reduction in vehicle emissions. This is routinely used for vehicle emissions standards instead of NO₂. Vehicles emit nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and nitrogen oxide (NO) which make up the total NOx. The NO reacts with ozone in sunlight to create NO₂. The relationship between NOx emitted and ambient NO₂ is not linear so emission reductions are presented in NO_x.

3.4.1 AQMA No.1 Hennef Way, Banbury – Required Reduction

To reduce the total NO_2 concentration by 19.8 μ g/m³ at the worst case monitoring location in this AQMA, a road NO_x reduction of 61.6 μ g/m³ (52%) is required.

3.4.2 AQMA No.2 Banbury – Required Reduction

To reduce the total NO_2 concentration by 0.9 μ g/m³ at the worst case monitoring location in this AQMA, a road NO_x reduction of 11.1 μ g/m³ (16%) is required.

3.4.3 AQMA No.3 Bicester Road, Kidlington – Required Reduction

To reduce the total NO_2 concentration by 1.1 μ g/m³ at the worst case monitoring location in this AQMA, a road NO_x reduction of 11.2 μ g/m³ (17%) is required.

3.4.4 AQMA No.4 Bicester – Required Reduction

To reduce the total NO_2 concentration by 6 $\mu g/m^3$ at the worst case monitoring location in this AQMA, a road NO_x reduction of 25.6 $\mu g/m^3$ (30%) is required.

3.5 Key Priorities

The key priorities for action are:

- Priority 1 Strengthening local policy to improve air quality and its role in protecting health;
- Priority 2 Reducing NO_x emissions from cars in all AQMAs;
- Priority 3 Ensuring new developments encourage and facilitate low emission and alternative transport;
- Priority 4 Ensuring transport infrastructure delivery takes account of air quality improvement potential within AQMAs;

• Priority 5 – Raising awareness of poor air quality and encouraging improvement actions by vehicle users and fleet managers.

4 Development and Implementation of Cherwell District AQAP

4.1 Consultation and Stakeholder Engagement

In developing this AQAP, we have worked with other local authorities and agencies to improve local air quality. Schedule 11 of the Environment Act 1995 requires local authorities to consult the bodies listed in Table 4.1. A public online survey portal was used to facilitate the consultation. A copy of this survey is presented in Appendix C.

The following stakeholder engagement was undertaken to direct people towards this consultation survey:

- Online consultation link
- Local press release
- · Social media press release
- · Letter drops to properties within and close to AQMAs
- Steering group meetings

The response to our consultation / stakeholder engagement is shown in Appendix D.

Table 4.1 - Consultees from Schedule 11 of EA 1995

| Consultee |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Secretary of State |
| Environment Agency |
| Highways authority |
| Neighbouring local authorities |
| Other public authorities as appropriate, such as Public Health officials |
| Bodies representing local business interests and other organisations as appropriate |

4.2 Steering Group

An officer level steering group was set up to consider the actions in Tables 5.1 to 5.5 with representatives from:

Cherwell District Council Planning Policy

Cherwell District Council Environmental Protection

Cherwell District Council Bicester Delivery Team

Cherwell District Council Communications

Oxfordshire County Council Localities, Policies and Programmes Teams

Two steering group meetings were run in 2016 to further develop the measures proposed.

Several steering group meetings were run in 2013 to develop action measures for AQMA No.1 – Hennef Way. These actions were not taken further due to the uncertainties raised over an exceedence occurring at a relevant receptor (identified in the 2013 Further Assessment report) and Defra requiring further monitoring to address this uncertainty. These measures are included in tables 5.1 – 5.5.

5 AQAP Measures

Table 5.1 to 5.5 show the Cherwell District AQAP measures. It contains:

- a list of the actions that form part of the plan
- the responsible individual and departments/organisations who will deliver this action
- expected benefit in terms of pollutant emission and/or concentration reduction
- the timescale for implementation

Updates on the implementation of these measures will be reported on in future Annual Status Reports which will be available to download at www.cherwell.gov.uk/airqualitymanagement.

Measures included in the consultation where there has been no funding and / or commitment to undertake these measures are included in Table 6.1. These will be retained as measures which could improve air quality but are not being progressed until funding and commitment can be secured to undertake these.

Measures that will not be pursued and the reasons why are shown in Table A.1 in Appendix A.

Table 5.1 - Air Quality Action Plan General Measures

| Comments | Local Plan Part 2 will consider measures to encourage low emission vehicle takeup through development management policy. | Emission statements and mitigation strategies will be required in air quality assessments. This will be included in development management policies as part of Local Plan Part 2 development. | Damage Cost calculations will be required in air quality assessments. This will be included in development management policies as part of Local Plan Part 2 development. |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cost | Low | Low | Low |
| Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | Medium | Medium | Low |
| Implem entation Phase | 2017/18 | 2017/18 | 2017/18 |
| Planning Phase | 2016/17 | 2016/17 | 2016/17 |
| Lead Authority | CDC | CDC | CDC |
| EU Classificati on | Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance | Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance | Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance |
| EU Category | Policy Guidance and Developme nt Control | Policy Guidance and Developme nt Control | Policy Guidance and Developme nt Control |
| Measure Measure No. | Explore the Local Plan including Low Emission Vehicle uptake measures being incorporated into new developments | All major developments to include Emission statements and mitigation strategies within an appropriate air quality assessment submitted at the application stage. | Damage cost calculations to be included in air quality assessments to show the financial impact of developments. |
| Measure No. | <u>.</u> . | 6.2 | ි. ව |

| | sss (| ر | lity. |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Travel plans are coordinated and progreschecked by OCC. Measures to address air quality specifically can be adopted through process in partnershipwith CDC. These should be included in the Local Air Quality Management Annual Status Report. | LTP4 (2016 update) includes an annex on actions to address air quality. On-going measure developmer and updates to LTP4 should represent changes in air quality Maintain close links between OCC and CDC. | s a air qua update actions nual |
| ents | Travel plans are coordinated and prograblecked by OCC. Weasures to address in quality specificall can be adopted through the development coording to brocess in partnerst with CDC. These should be included if the Local Air Quality Wanagement Annus Status Report. | 2016 u s and ar s and and On-go on deve dates t repress repress is in air | ncludes ent on ntain, u ogress the anr proces |
| Comments | Travel plans are co- ordinated and progress checked by OCC. Measures to address air quality specifically can be adopted through the development control process in partnership with CDC. These should be included in the Local Air Quality Management Annual Status Report. | LTP4 (2016 update) includes an annex on actions to address air quality. On-going measure development and updates to LTP4 should represent changes in air quality. Maintain close links between OCC and CDC. | JSNA includes a statement on air quality. To maintain, update and progress actions as part of the annual review process. |
| | | | |
| Cost | Low | Low | Low |
| Target Pollution Reduction in the | Low | Medium | Low |
| E ion | | | |
| Implem entation Phase | In place | 2016 | 2015 |
| gui d | | | |
| Planning Phase | n/a | 2015 | 2015 |
| rity | | | |
| Lead Authority | 220 | 220 | 220 |
| cati | ality ng licy ce | ality ng licy ce | ality ng licy ce |
| EU Classificati on | Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance | Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance | Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance |
| | Ψ | Φ | Φ |
| EU Category | Policy Guidance and Developm nt Control | Policy Guidance and Developm nt Control | Policy Guidance and Developm nt Control |
| Ca | | | Polic Guid and Deve nt Cc |
| | Travel plans submitted with development proposals will make reference to their contribution to an air quality mitigation strategy. Progress will be reported to OCC post development completion. | Air Quality actions to be included in the Local Transport Plan | the ih Joint eeds t |
| arre | Travel plans submitted with development proposals will make reference their contribution their contribution mitigation strategy. Progress will be reported to OCC post development completion. | Air Quality action to be included in the Local Transport Plan | Air Quality included in the Public health framework Joint Strategic Needs Assessment |
| Measure | Travel pla submitted developm proposals make refe their contr to an air on mitigation strategy. Progress reported to post deve | Air Qualit to be incli the Local Transpor | Air Quality included ir Public hea framework Strategic N Assessme |
| Measure No. | | | |
| Meas No. | 6.4 | G.5 | 6.6 |

| | review courage hicles | will be seen and sions & chased, or ed" in seent | |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ents | At the next Taxi licensing policy review measures to encourage low emission vehicles will be included. | To include "Consideration will be given to minimise oxides of nitrogen and particulate emissions whenever plant & vehicles are purchased, deliveries made or contracts procured" in the CDC procurement policy. | |
| Comments | At the licensir measu low em will be | To include "Considera given to mi oxides of n particulate whenever p vehicles ar deliveries r contracts p the CDC pp policy. | |
| Cost | Low | Low | Low |
| Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | Low | Medium | Medium |
| Implem entation Phase | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 |
| Planning Phase | 2017/18 | 2017 | 2017 |
| Lead Authority | срс | CDC |)) |
| EU Classificati on | Other Policy | Sustainable procureme nt guidance | Sustainable procureme nt guidance |
| EU Category | Policy Guidance and Developme nt Control | Policy Guidance and Developme nt Control | Policy Guidance and Developme nt Control |
| Measure | Low emission vehicles to be included in taxi licensing policy to encourage their take up and use within the district. | Low emission plant, vehicle, delivery and fleet requirements to be included in sustainable procurement section of CDC procurement policy. | Low emission plant, vehicle, delivery and fleet requirements to be included in OCC procurement |
| Measure Measure No. | G.7 | 8. 8. | <u>ඉ</u> |

| Comments | No funding has been identified for a project. Scope of this campaign to be determined. Minimum will be within existing council communication channels promoting existing schemes e.g. liftshare, easeitoxford, oxon-air, council website. |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cost | Low |
| Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | Low |
| Implem entation Phase | 2017/18 Low |
| Planning Phase | 2017 |
| Lead Authority | срс |
| EU Classificati on | Via other mechanism s |
| EU Category | Public Information |
| Measure Measure No. | Air pollution and action measures awareness raising campaign |
| Measure No. | G.10 |

Table 5.2 - AQMA No.1 Hennef Way Air Quality Action Plan

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category | EU Classification | Lead Authority | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | Cost | Comments |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.1 | Banbury Park and Ride Bus service around M40 junction | Alternative s to private vehicle use | Bus based Park & Ride | 220 | 2017/18 | tbc | Medium | Medium | Feasibility to be investigated within next area strategy review within LTP4 |
| 1.2 | Lift share scheme | Alternative s to private vehicle use | Car & lift sharing schemes | ၁၁၀ | current | current | Low | Low | oxfordshire.liftshare.com is operational |
| 1.3 | Corporate policy encouraging home working where possible and equipment provision. | Promoting Travel Alternative S | Encourage / Facilitate home- working | CDC | current | current | low | Low | CDC transport policy encourages home working and regularly reviews work travel. |
| 1.4 | Promote use of canal towpath routes | Promoting Travel Alternative S | Promote use of rail and inland waterways | CDC / Canal and Rivers Trust | 2017/18 | tbc | Low | Low | |
| 1.5 | Promote use of rail to get into Banbury | Promoting Travel Alternative S | Promote use of rail and inland waterways | CDC / Chiltern Rail | 2017/18 | tbc | Low | Low | |

Table 5.3 - AQMA No.2 Banbury Air Quality Action Plan

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category | EU Classification | Lead Authority | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | Cost | Comments |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2.1 | Banbury Park and Ride Bus service around M40 junction | Alternative s to private vehicle use | Bus based Park & Ride | 220 | 2017/18 | tpc | Medium | Medium | Feasibility to be investigated within next area strategy review within LTP4 |
| 2.2 | Banbury wide car club | Alternative s to private vehicle use | Car Clubs | Banbury CAG | 2017 | tbc | wol | Low | Banbury CAG progressing this. Funding shortfall currently identified. |
| 2.3 | Corporate policy encouraging home working where possible and equipment provision. | Promoting Travel Altemative S | Encourage / Facilitate home- working | CDC | current | current | wol | Low | CDC transport policy encourages home working and regularly reviews work travel. |
| 2.4 | Promote use of canal towpath routes | Promoting Travel Alternative S | Promote use of rail and inland waterways | CDC / Canal and Rivers Trust | 2017/18 | tbc | Гом | Low | |
| 2.5 | Promote use of rail to get into Banbury | Promoting Travel Alternative S | Promote use of rail and inland waterways | CDC / Chiltem Rail | 2017/18 | tbc | Low | Low | Promote use of rail to get into Banbury |

21

Table 5.4 - AQMA No.3 Kidlington Air Quality Action Plan

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category | EU Classification | Lead Authority | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | Cost | Comments |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3.1 | Lift share campaign at Water Eaton Park and ride | Alternative s to private vehicle use | Car & lift sharing schemes | 200 | 2017 | 2017 | Low | Low | Water Eaton traffic drives through this AQMA. Promote lift share to encourage sharing to the park and ride. |
| 3.2 | Promote use of canal towpath routes | Promoting Travel Alternative S | Promote use of rail and inland waterways | CDC / Canal and Rivers Trust | 2017/18 | tbc | Low | Low | |
| 3.3 | Promote use of rail to get into Bicester | Promoting Travel Alternative S | Promote use of rail and inland waterways | CDC / Chiltem Rail | 2017/18 | tbc | Low | Low | Promote use of rail to get into Bicester |
| 8. 4. | Investigate traffic light management to reduce north side queuing. | Traffic Manageme nt | UTC, Congestion management, traffic reduction | 220 | 2017 | tbc | Medium | Low | Traffic is currently optimised using MOVA system. Possible use of system to optimise for sensitivity of air quality receptors to be investigated. |

22

Table 5.5 - AQMA No.4 Bicester Air Quality Action Plan

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category | EU Classification | Lead Authority | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | Cost | Comments |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.4 | Bicester Park and Ride Bus service | Altemative s to private vehicle use | Bus based Park & Ride | 200 | 2015 | 2016 | Medium | Delivered | Potential to include alternative vehicle charging at this site to encourage low emission vehicle transport |
| 4.2 | Promote Oxford Parkway station for journeys into Bicester | Altemative s to private vehicle use | Rail based Park & Ride | Chiltem Rail | 2016 | 2016 | Low | Low | Oxford Parkway alternative to travel to Bicester. Potential to advertise easitoxford. |
| 4.3 | Low emission delivery plans | Freight and Delivery Manageme nt | Delivery and Service plans | 220 | 2017 | tbc | Low | Low | Assess feasibility to introduce low emission delivery vehicle requirements. |
| 4.4 | Bicester active travel i.e. walking and cycling campaign | Promoting Travel Alternative S | Intensive active travel campaign & infrastructure | CDC | 2016 | 2017 | high | tbc | Healthy new town priority to encourage active travel i.e. walking and cycling |
| 4.5 | Wayfinding campaign | Promoting Travel Alternative s | Other | CDC | 2016 | 2017 | | tbc | Wayfinding campaign to signpost walking and cycling routes around Bicester. |

23

Cherwell District Council

| Comments | | This project is being developed in Bicester, looking at ways to use the satellite catapult to map, communicate and educate on air quality and travel data. | Drayson technology sensors are being considered for use to develop a project working with schools. |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cost | | Medium | Low |
| Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | | Low | Low |
| Implementation Phase | | tpc | tbc |
| Planning Phase | | 2017 | 2017 |
| Lead Authority | 220 | CDC | CDC |
| EU Lead Classification Authority | Strategic highway improvements, Re-prioritising road space away from cars, inc Access management, Selective vehicle priority, high vehicle occupancy lane | Other | Via other mechanisms |
| EU Category | Traffic Manageme nt | Promoting Travel Alternative S | Public Information |
| Measure | Central corridor works in LTP | Develop Satellite Catapult project | Develop school project using air quality sensors |
| Measure No. | 4.6 | 4.7 | 8. 8. |

Table 6.1 - Measures without commitment and / or funding

| Measure | EU Category | EU Classification | Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | Comments |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Targeted business-led employee lift share schemes for Banbury - Brackley employee journeys and local industrial estates i.e. Wildmere and Overthorpe | Alternatives to private Car & lift sharing vehicle use schemes | Car & lift sharing schemes | Low | No dedicated OCC Travel Choices Team and no funding for Business travel choices. Work with business travel is done through development control. |
| Identify school journeys on this route e.g. Banbury - Middleton Cheney to monitor and promote school travel plans | Promoting Travel Alternatives | School Travel Plans | Low | No dedicated OCC Travel Choices Team and no funding for Business travel choices. |

Cherwell District Council

| Measure | EU Category | EU Classification | Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA | Comments |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Green Wall Barrier between carriageway and receptor | Transport Planning and Infrastructure | Other | Medium | No commitment or source of funding identified |
| Targeted Banbury - Brackley employee journeys and local industrial estates i.e. Wildmere and Overthorpe workplace travel plan promotion | Promoting Travel Alternatives | Workplace Travel Planning | Low | No dedicated OCC Travel Choices Team and no funding for Business travel choices. Work with business travel is done through development control. |
| Electric Vehicle Charging in CDC owned car parks | Promoting Low Emission Transport | Procuring alternative Refuelling infrastructure to promote Low Emission Vehicles, EV recharging, Gas fuel recharging | Low | No funding for this was identified. |
| Priority parking for lift share permit holders in CDC owned car parks | Alternatives to private vehicle use | Car & lift sharing schemes | Low | No funding for this identified. Lift share permit system and assign priority parking for permit holders. |
| Identify school journeys in Bicester AQMA to monitor and promote school travel plans | Promoting Travel Alternatives | School Travel Plans | Medium | School traffic was highlighted through the consultation as a key issue in this AQMA. No funding was identified for this. There is no dedicated OCC Travel Choices Team and no funding for Business travel choices. |
| Bicester wide car club | Alternatives to private vehicle use | Car Clubs | Low | There is a car club run by developers on the Elmsbrook development. No funding for a Bicester wide car club was identified. |
| Create Clean Air Zones which encompass the AQMA's | Promoting Low Emission Transport | Low Emission Zone (LEZ) | Medium | Central Governments Joint Air Quality Unit released Clean air zone framework guidance. LTP4 includes reference to Clean Air Zones. No funding has been identified to progress this measure. |

Appendix A: Reasons for Not Pursuing Action Plan Measures

Table A.1 – Action Plan Measures Not Pursued and the Reasons for that Decision

| Action category | Action description | Reason action is not being pursued (including Stakeholder views) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Air quality neutral development | Major developments in or within 100 metres of an AQMA will be air quality neutral | Difficult to enforce. No definition of air quality neutral. Arbitrary 100 metre distance may be open to challenge. |
| Bus based Park and ride | Removal of Water Eaton Park and Ride to reduce travel to this park and ride facility | The park and ride facility reduces journeys into neighbouring authorities AQMA. |
| Rail based Park & Ride | Promotion of rail based park and ride | Banbury and Bicester stations are located in areas which may encourage journeys through AQMAs. |
| Environmental Permits | Environmental permit based actions | Transport is the main contributor to pollutants in the AQMAs. |
| Freight Consolidation Centre | Freight Consolidation Centre | Main emission source is cars |
| Freight Partnerships for city centre deliveries | Freight Partnerships for city centre deliveries | Main emission source is cars |
| Quiet & out of hours delivery | Quiet & out of hours delivery | Main emission source is cars |
| Route Management Plans/ Strategic routing strategy for HGV's | Route Management Plans/ Strategic routing strategy for HGV's | Main emission source is cars |
| Public information via television | TV campaign | Limited impact |
| Anti-idling enforcement | Anti-idling enforcement campaign | Idling hasn't been identified as a significant issue in any AQMA. |
| Reduction of speed limits, | Reduction of speed limits | Speeding or faster moving traffic has not been identified as an issue. Hennef Way dual carriageway has a |

Cherwell District Council

| 20mph zones | | speed reduction to 50 mph in place currently. |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Road User Charging (RUC)/ Congestion charging | Congestion charging in towns | No zone for congestion charging identified. |
| Testing Vehicle Emissions | Roadside testing of vehicle emissions campaigns | |
| Workplace Parking Levy, Parking Enforcement on highway | Workplace Parking Levy, Parking Enforcement on highway | Roadside parking not identified as a significant issue. |
| Vehicle Retrofitting programmes | Vehicle Retrofitting programmes | |
| Transport Planning and Infrastructure | Purchasing the 3 houses within AQMA No.1 | Not improving air quality or the neighbourhood with empty properties. |

Appendix B: Source Apportionment Calculations

The source apportionment and emission reduction calculations were undertaken using the Defra LAQM NO_x to NO_2 calculator V 4.1 and national background mapping as recommended in LAQM Technical Guidance TG16 (April 2016). The following inputs were used:

- -Year of monitoring used: 2015
- -2015 diffusion tube monitoring as reported in ASR 2016
- -Estimated Regional Concentrations above the surface layer:

Ozone 61 mg m⁻³ Oxides of nitrogen 12 mg m⁻³ as NO₂ Nitrogen dioxide 10 mg m⁻³

-All UK Traffic Mix
Fraction NOx emitted from local road vehicles as NO2 - 0.23979

Appendix C: Consultation - Online Survey



Air Quality Action Plan Aim

Cherwell District Council are developing a plan to improve air quality in the district and address poor air quality in four areas. These areas are where national air quality objectives, designed to protect health from nitrogen dioxide, are not being achieved.

A draft Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) has been produced and we would like your comments. This is an opportunity to give your opinion on what you would like considered in the AQAP. The survey responses will shape the final plan which aims to consider:

- 1) How information about what affects air quality and measures that improve it
- 2) Measures to improve air quality where we live, work and visit
- 3) Ways to reduce the effect of vehicles on our local environment and health
- 4) Steps to protect the health of ourselves, neighbours, employees and visitors



Air Quality Management Areas

The four areas where the national objectives are not being achieved have been designated as Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs). These are:

AQMA No.1 Hennef Way, Banbury

http://www.cherwell.gov.uk/media/pdf/f/3/Hennef_Way_AQMA_- Printed_March_2012.pdf

AQMA No.2 Banbury

http://www.cherwell.gov.uk/media/pdf/r/8/AQMA_No.2_boundary_for_AQMA_Order_-October_2014.pdf

AQMA No.3 Kidlington

http://www.cherwell.gov.uk/media/pdf/s/q/AQMA_No.3_boundary_for_AQMA_Order_-October_2014.pdf

AQMA No.4 Bicester

http://www.cherwell.gov.uk/media/pdf/a/1/AQMA_No._4 - October_2015.pdf



Air Quality Action Plan Priorities

The priorities of this draft Air Quality Action Plan based on nitrogen dioxide levels and their source (which is largely from road vehicles) are:

- Priority 1 Strengthening local policy to improve air quality and its role in protecting health;
- Priority 2 Reducing oxides of nitrogen emissions from cars in all Air Quality Managment Areas (AQMA);
- Priority 3 Ensuring new developments encourage and facilitate low emission and alternative transport;
- Priority 4 Ensuring changes to transport infrastructure takes account of air quality improvement potential within AQMAs;
- Priority 5 Raising awareness of poor air quality and encouraging improvement actions by vehicle users and fleet managers.

The full draft Air Quality Action Plan can be found at www.cherwell.gov.uk/airqualitymanagement. The action plan measures are outlined for comment in this consultation.



Monitoring

How we monitor air quality and the latest results can be found in our latest air quality report, the 2016 Annual Status Report. This can be downloaded at http://www.cherwell.gov.uk/airqualitymanagement. An interactive map with monitoring results trends can be found at http://www.oxfordshire.air-quality.info/

This consultation will end on 18 December. The action plan will be developed based on the feedback we receive from this consultation process. A final version of the Air Quality Action Plan will be completed by February 2017.

The questions below are designed to take into account your views so they can help us create a plan to improve air quality and provide information which is of interest. We look forward to your response.

Consultation responses will be reported at the end of the consultation. We are unable to respond to consultation responses individually.



| Respondent Information |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| 1. Do you live, work or travel within Cherwell District? |
| Live |
| Work |
| Travel |
| None of the above |
| |
| 2. Are you a resident in or close to an Air Quality Management Area |
| (AQMA)? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| 3. Do you travel through an AQMA in a motor vehicle? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |



Proposed General Measures

Draft measures being considered for inclusion in the Air Quality Action Plan are listed in the following pages.

The "General Measures" apply to the whole district. Measures specific to each Air Quality Management area (AQMA), follow. Please comment on the proposed measurers and feel free to add others for consideration.

- Explore Cherwell's Local Plan including low emission vehicle uptake measures incorporated into all new development.
- Planning applications for major developments to include emission statements and mitigation strategies within an appropriate air quality assessment.
- Damage cost calculations to be included in air quality assessments to show the financial impact of developments.
- Major developments in or within 100 metres of an AQMA will be air quality neutral.
- Travel plans submitted with development proposals will make reference to their contribution to the mitigation strategy and progress will be reported to CDC for five years post development completion.
- Air quality actions to be included in the Local Transport Plan.
- Air quality included in the public health Joint Strategic Needs Assessment.
- Include low emission vehicles in taxi licensing policy to encourage their take up and use within the district.
- Low emission plant, vehicle, delivery and fleet requirements to be included in sustainable procurement section of Cherwell District Council procurement policy.
- Low emission plant, vehicle, delivery and fleet requirements to be included in sustainable procurement section of Oxfordshire County Council procurement policy.

| 4. Please use the box below to com | ment on any of these measures. Do |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| you feel that there are any measure | s missing which could be included to |
| improve air quality across the district | t? |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Proposed Measures for AQMA No.1 Hennef Way, Banbury

Draft proposed measures being considered for Air Quality Management Area No. 1 Hennef Way, Banbury. Please comment on the proposed measures and feel free to add others for consideration.

- Banbury Park and Ride Bus service around M40 junction
- Targeted business-led employee lift share schemes
- Corporate policy encouraging home working where possible and equipment provision.
- Promote use of canal towpath routes
- Promote use of rail to get into Banbury
- Identify school journeys on this route e.g. Banbury Middleton Cheney to monitor and promote school travel plans
- A vegetation wall to act as a barrier between the road and the houses
- Targeted business-led workplace travel plan promotion

| 5. Please use the box below to com | ment on any of these measures. Do |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| you feel that there are any measures | s missing which could be included to |
| improve air quality in this AQMA? | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Proposed Measures for AQMA No.2 Banbury

Draft proposed measures being considered for Air Quality Management Area No. 2, Banbury. Please comment on the proposed measures and feel free to add others for consideration.

- Banbury Park and Ride Bus service
- Priority parking for lift share permit holders in CDC owned car parks
- Banbury wide car club
- Corporate policy encouraging home working where possible and equipment provision.
- Promote use of canal towpath routes
- Promote use of rail to get into Banbury

| 6. Please use the box below to commer | it on any of the specific |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| measures. Do you feel that there are an | y measures missing which could |
| be included to improve air quality in this | AQMA? |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Proposed Measurers for AQMA No.3 Kidlington

Draft proposed measures being considered for Air Quality Management Area No. 3 Kidlington. Please comment on the proposed measures and feel free to add others for consideration.

- Lift share campaign at Water Eaton Park and ride.
- Promote use of canal towpath routes
- Promote use of rail to get into Bicester
- Feasibility for traffic light management to reduce north side queuing to be investigated.

| 7. Please use the box below to comm | ent on any of these specific |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| measures. Do you feel that there are | any measures missing which could |
| be included to improve air quality in the | nis AQMA? |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Proposed Measures for AQMA No.4 Bicester

Draft proposed measures being considered for Air Quality Management Area No.4 Bicester. Please comment on the proposed measures and feel free to add others for consideration.

- Bicester Park and Ride Bus service
- Priority parking for lift share permit holders in CDC owned car parks
- Bicester wide car club
- Promote Oxford Parkway station for journeys into Bicester
- Low emission delivery plans
- Bicester active travel i.e. walking and cycling campaign
- Identify school journeys on this route to monitor and promote school travel plans
- Wayfinding campaign
- Central corridor works in Local Transport Plan

| 8. Please use the box below to comment on any of these specific |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| measures. Do you feel that there are any measures missing which |
| could be included to improve air quality in this AQMA? |
| |
| |
| |



Further information

| | oosed and your comments so far, are ling to personally take to improve air |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | |
| 10. If you have any further commen free to give them below. | ts on this consultation, please feel |
| | |
| | |



Close Thank you for completing the survey, please see the councils website at http://www.cherwell.gov.uk/airqualitymanagement for further information regarding the details of this consultation.

Appendix D: Survey Consultation Responses

General Measures. Please use the box below to comment on any of these measures. Do you feel that there are any measures missing which could be included to improve air quality across the district?

Plant more trees

I know that bus services have been cut from my area of town and idont imagine this is the only part of Banbury to be affected. We need to invest in good local transport services

In Banbury would be good to have adequate cycle lanes, more trees or shrubbery to counter the pollutants, relief road to enable vehicles exiting the motorway to be drawn away from the areas mentioned in the report.

Is air pollution from business premises and potential business premises included

Hennef Way to the M40 junction is a real bottleneck and can only get worse with more businesses moving into the trading estates

no

A lot of traffic on the roads in general is caused by parents taking their children to school. The impact of this is evident at school holiday times. I firmly believe the number of cars on the road would be greatly reduced if affordable public transport was available for all schools including primary schools.

encourage staff to walk/cycle/car share/use public transport. Such encouragement could be to pay travel allowances inversely proportionate to emmissions. charge for car parking at offices, promote alternatives to individual cars. lobby for change in emmisions based taxation to take account of high polluting hybrids that can only do 20 miles on electric motor. offer reduced parking/free charging for genuine electric vehicles. improve cycle routes to avoid slow, winding routes. look to make areas traffic free. build cycle/foot bridge over railway to offer uncongested alternative to the two existing traffic clogged roads. convert old railway route between Brackley and Banbury into a footpath/cycleway route to encourage emission free travel between two centres + general health benefits from more active population.

Explore mitigation measures to address the impact of air quality

Encourage the planting of more trees and hedgerows - increase the viability of open spaces to provide a green lung to new developments

The biggest problem must be the volume of traffic at peak periods and older vehicles with higher levels of NO2 emission. Town growth must increase this problem over the next five years.

Every major development should me made to include EV charging points (how come Sainsbury's have 2 but a later Tesco development have none) this should be included in the local plan as a matter of urgency. It should really be stipulated that a minimum of 10% of the parking spaces have charge points. Milton Keynes offers free parking for EV drivers - this attracts people to own them. Without measures like these they town will just get worse. The planning for the north west should be changed so that chargers should be mandatory at all new builds (not just "provision for charges" as it currently is)

Increase bus use, and provide increase cycle network. Low emission buses

Direct action needs to be taken immediately. Reduce the number of buses entering Bicester Re open North Street to Banbury Roa, this will help to spread the pollution in a wider area area and dilute it. Impose speed restrictions in the area, Say 20 mph Stop giving any more planning permissions that increase traffic in Central Bicester. Etc,etc.

N//a

CDC promised cycle paths around Bicester. They have not delivered on this promise bar a few isolated stretches. CDC paid for a consultants report which recommended banning HGVs from the centre of Bicester. CDC have ignored this report. CDC proposed car sharing scheme despite OCC having had one for years. The problem in Bicester will only improve if the traffic through town is routed on new roads on the periphery of the town and stopping any more housing/warehouse developments.

This is too late for Bicester, there have been too many developments without thinking through the consequences for the air quality in Bicester. I live on Kings End in Bicester - it's a conservation area, it also has a signpost on it disallowing any vehicle over 7.5 tonnes except for access. 1 this road is access Sainsbury's but so is Launton Road. Little use is being made of the ring road by huge trucks and cars alike. This could have improved the air quality in Bicester but now the damage is done. Many are probably just following their SatNavs without realising they are going to sit in a huge traffic jam rather than drive round the ring road at 50 miles per hour. Surely the council have a duty of care to the buildings in the conservation area as well as the owners of the properties.

How about building a ring road around Bicester that works now, let alone when the 10000s of new houses are built. Then maybe the quickest route won't be directly through the middle of the town. The road plan is currently flawed! Why go the longer, slower route around the failing ringroad?

Reduce amount of traffic travelling to and from and across Bicester. Close down Bicester village. Reduce amount of housing - Bicester is due 100% in number of homes with an antiquated traffic system that current plans do not address

Stop traffic into and around Bicester Village.

Stop cars using villages as "rat runs"

Re-assess any recent changes in road layout that may have had a detrimental affect on air quality.

Seem ok. How about funding the buses so people don't have to drive everywhere.how about doing something about all the traffic caused by bicester village which adds to the bad air quality in that area, a bypass for lorries, park and ride for bicester village located out of town.

I live near AQMA no 2 but I have not noticed poor air quality when I have walked near Banbury Cross because of wide pavements, plenty of trees and generally a pleasant environment. The area I am surprised is not covered by an AQMA is along the A4620, its crossing with Bridge Street, and then along Bridge Street itself where it crosses the railway. I walked along this route for years taking my children to school and the combination of heavy traffic, often stationery because of congestion or pedestrians crossing, make it one of the most polluted-feeling walks in Banbury. Hundreds of school children cross the bridge both ways every day as it links Grimsbury with routes to the secondary schools. I think this area is the one that needs priority.

1, the buses are all diesel and pump out lots of pollution, make the electric.

Nothing to add

Pro actively prevent further AQMAs arising, such as Ardley, where the M40 junction, incinerator fumes and lorries delivering to the tip and incinerator may tip it over the edge.

I agree with vehicles that will help increase air quality but I feel you're placing your efforts in the wrong area. It is half term this week and that amount of traffic on the roads in these areas and indeed everywhere is DRAMATICALLY reduced. I personally feel encouraging more lift sharing and the return or schools buses would greatly benefit air quality in general. I'm sure everyone can agree that one child per car is not environmentally friendly.

Local master plans all include significant elements that encourage the increase and growth of motor vehicle pollution in these areas e.g. increased housing, more local roads, more out of town shopping offering large scale car parking, large distribution developments (more large lorries). Doubtful whether mitigating plans/ideas will counter this or whether requirements for development will be enforced. Nothing about actually reducing the volume of polluting activity i.e. vehicles and encouraging/increasing non or less-polluting alternatives e.g walking, cycling, rail,

reduce the increased traffic flow going to the new railway station by creating access from the A34 to the station. Exclusion of all HGVs from B430 Weston on the Green

Low emission vehicles sound nice but the emissions that it takes to create and charge them exceed that of conventional vehicles. Battery powered vehicles only change the location that the emissions come from. Removing some of the impediments to traffic flow might help clear the problems.

better public transport as living in a village with non-existent buses it is impossible to get anywhere without a car or motor bike. Cycling is not an option with small children. Also why not have a school bus system like in America as if all children were picked up by bus t would cut down dramatically the amount of vehicles on the road, not to mention or the co2 coming out of parked cars outside schools

Measures missing are charging points in Banbury to encourage electric vehicle. Require usable and safe cycle lanes. Relief road required to take vehicles exiting the motorway from using Banbury town centre as a through road.

Considering points two to four above, I would like to know why a Waitrose was granted planning permission within and around the Hennef Way/Southam Road areas both of which are highlighted as needing to reduce the poor air quality. How is a large supermarket and subsequent additional car park 'neutral'? Surely the extra few hundred cars per day visiting the new supermarket will be adding more emissions to the area you're actually trying to reduce them? As it is in between both sites and not necessarily a 'target' the extra traffic will use either or both areas at risk to access an already traffic congested roadway.

I would like to know how considering points two, three, and four that a Waitrose was granted planning permission on that stretch of road (Southam Rd). Surely an additional supermarket and it's carpark would bring great traffic and emissions which would only add to the impact of poorer air quality, and is not 'neutral'. How was this passed considering the above points?

Stop the growth of rapeseed in the fields. It has dreadful effects for asthma/hay fever sufferers.

AQMA No.1 - Hennef Way. Please use the box below to comment on any of these measures. Do you feel that there are any measures missing which could be included to improve air quality in this AQMA?

free bus between town centre and both retail parks to reduce cars travelling between the retail parks and the town. The M40 junction park and ride wouldn't be used by those living south side of Banbury.

As ststed previously, we need investment in local transport to make it both user friendly and affordable

Park and ride would be good, but would have to be developed so that customer is not taken from the town centre. Employee lift scheme may work if businesses run 9-5 shifts. Home working would be better. Canal towpaths would have to be made safer, railways provide good parking facilities unfortunately the car park causes congestion feeding into the air quality which you are trying to improve. vegetation I have already mentioned, a cheaper and more flexible transport system may encourage more use. I suggest large wind turbines to disburse the pollutants and provide energy.

Schools should be encouraged/mandated to have a "walking bus" initiative whereby parents sign upto a walking group that delivers their children to school safely, rather than clog up streets with their dreadful/irresponsible parking. Would it be possible to liaise with Head Teachers?

no

I agree with these measures and personally would be happy to work from home as I live 20 miles away. I am glad that school traffic is being taken into account, rather than just businesses. Car sharing for both is a great idea.

Reduce the speed limit on Hennef Way and consider relocating the pelican crossing/installing a footbridge.

Vegetation wall might be a could idea but in some areas has been found to prohibit the dispersal of airborne pollutants and increase pollutant concentrations.

greater promotion of walking buses for school age children

Need to improve the canal towpath for cycle traffic from the Track roundabout to the footbridge over the canal towards the Hansel Fields roundabout.

Better cycle route avoiding road

1, lots.as mentioned before, copy other towns or cities .

N//a

I live in Bicester

This is naive, you will not get people out of their cars.

Promote Bicester park and ride more

None of this will have any affect on the issue as it relates to Bicester.

These are all fine but I think a big issue relating to this AQMA will be goods traffic. Are there no measures to deal with this?

Increase business rates, so those that the more employees you have that drive to work in petrol/diesel cars the higher business rates you pay

Nothing comes to mind.

Prevent stationary traffic building up at the roundabouts - consider traffic lights or other measures.

As mentioned previously, it's not the businesses you need to target. I travel during rush hour and there is no issue at all when the schools are on holidays....

Very good.

I would very much like to see school buses, as the drop in the amount of traffic during half term is huge.

The vegetation wall is a great idea and works well. Park and rid service would be great but it needs to reduce the cost that drivers would pay at in town parking otherwise folks would not use it.

I think all new houses with gardens should have to have tree/s in their garden to offset the co2 the houses and extra vehicles coming from them as the area gets progressively more built up. Also why aren't all houses and new office blocks not as standard fitted with solar panels or similar as this should be mandatory.

See previous page.

A second entry onto the retail park is essential. The traffic build up at peak times on the roundabout can only be exacerbating the emissions to the area, where as a separate entrance/exit point front eh Tesco car park on Southam Road would ease the flow of traffic and thus lower emissions. Recently traffic was backed up on the retail park and surrounding roads for several hours.

AQMA No.2 Banbury - Please use the box below to comment on any of these measures. Do you feel that there are any measures missing which could be included to improve air quality in this AQMA?

Living in a village but travelling to Banbury is very expensive by bus, cheaper to drive or get a taxi if one way journey for 2 adults.

Additional relief road from the motorway to take away traffic trying to get to the outskirts at the other end of town. Subsidised parking for vehicles used for car sharing.

Any initiative needs to be frequent and easy to use and delivered from the service user point of view rather than the non-user's perspective

nο

Reduce the speed limit and consider alternative routing of through traffic

loan bikes at park and ride sites and easy access to safe cycling and walking routes from perimeter car parks Probably insufficient to deal with the increase in traffic volumes created by the growth of the town.

Better off road cycle network, especially around the train station, Middleton road.

Reduce the number of vehicles with road calming measures

N//a

I live in Bicester

1 all very laudable but will people give up using their cars?

Can we have some measures aimed at safe cycling? And again, why are there no measures connected with goods traffic?

Have one day a week when the town is closed to all petrol/diesel driven traffic

Nothing comes to mind regarding the AQMA. However, I think a Park and Ride service could have some attraction perhaps on a weekend between the M40 and Banbury town centre linked to the retail park bus service.

Increase parking charges to deter cars.

Same as before. A school park and ride may help if it's located outside of Banbury and then children are brought in by bus.

Very good

Create a local area lift share scheme along the lines of BlaBlaCar.

Including a park and ride in the aria of Bodicote would be helpful too.

see previous as this applies to all areas suggested

Where would the park and ride be set up? also I do not think a park and would be used much. Previous scheme run at Christmas proved to be low usage. If more thought went into cycle paths it may encourage greater use, even pioneering a 'Boris type bike scheme'

Personally, I think the pedestrian crossings are all too close together. There is a crossing on all four junctions of the cross, then a hundred yards further up on Horse Fair there is another, another mini one a hundred yards further up and then on the corner of North Bar there is the traffic lights and crossings (the Three Pigeons). While as a business owner I want pedestrians to come into town, surely all of these crossings in such a short space will mean cars are just sitting there with their engines running up to three times in a matter of a few hundred yards. All the time the traffic is waiting, the emissions are building.

AQMA No.3 Kidlington - Please use the box below to comment on any of these measures. Do you feel that there are any measures missing which could be included to improve air quality in this AQMA?

No new comments to be made on the above only that cycling appears to be ignored.

Can't comment as don't have any experience of Kidlington

no

Consider alternative junction arrangements to prevent / reduce the impact of queuing traffic

N\A

N//a

I live in Bicester

1 the train'a great, and I'm looking forward to being able to get the train to Oxford again. Thank you Chiltern Rail. There are some people who you will not for to use public transport. Others don't give it a second thought and would definitely use it. Maybe exorbitant parking charges like in Oxford would do it?!

Using rail is fair enough but how do people then complete their journeys; no-one will walk anymore and bus services are being cut to shreds

1. Introduction of separate designated cycle lanes. 2. Upgrade A34 to eliminate traffic queueing and the knock on effect of using the area as a rat run. 3. Better phasing of traffic lights at junction of Bicester Road and A41 to reduce traffic queueing. 4. Parking restrictions on Bicester Road as parking slows down traffic. 5. Better phasing of traffic lights at junction of Bicester Road and Oxford Road to reduce traffic queueing. 6. Promote switching off engines at traffic lights. 7. Use smart traffic lights that operate according to traffic queueing. I know the canal area is little used in Kidlington and I suggest the tow path route to Oxford could be opened up

I know the canal area is little used in Kidlington and I suggest the tow path route to Oxford could be opened up to cyclists and perhaps small motorcycles. Keep them off the busy roads into Oxford and make use of an unloved facility.

Cycle lanes on main north-south route

Same as before

Make park and ride parking free

Slip road from the A34 giving direct access to the park and ride and new railaway station, keeping traffic away from Bicester Road. Reduced speed (from 40 to 30) to slow traffic and 'put people off' using it as a through road to the railway station. Introduce resident parking in layby and around the close to prevent people coming and parking by the houses instead of using the park and ride.

Removing roughly half of the traffic lights on the Oxford road would reduce the time that vehicles are idling in traffic. One direction travel roads within the city centre would also limit idling time.

see previous as this applies here to

Do not live in Kidlington, unable to comment.

AQMA No.4 Bicester - Please use the box below to comment on any of these measures. Do you feel that there are any measures missing which could be included to improve air quality in this AQMA?

Bring back catchment areas for schools to avoid pupils having to travel miles to attend school. I notice the decrease in traffic when pupils on holiday, perhaps there is a quick fix here?

Can't comment as don't use Bicester

no

Implement the proposals for the central corridor route set out in the Bicester Sustainable Transport Strategy.

N\Α

Again - incourage EV take up by free parking and charge points in council car parks

Consider positive ways to reduce traffic, either travelling to/from Bicester Village or through traffic going to/from Tescos on A41 and/or going towards/away Junction 9 on the M40. Sheer weight of traffic would seem to be the cause of pollution in this Management Area.

More effort should be made to reduce the 'school run' vehicles going to/from Bicester Community College, twice a day. Travel to/from Oxford should be promoted using Bicester Village station when the service through to the main station in Oxford starts in December 2016.

Build an integrated and joined up cycling network in Bicester.

N//a

A circular bus network from 6am-10pm. A proper ring road to take traffic away from Queens Avenue No further expansion of Bicester Village Cancel planning permission for warehousing developments.

Please just stop the lorries! They should not be thundering past the old houses on Kings End, the Causeway and Church Street.

Park and ride is pointless where it is. Should have been built by M40 with decent access and exits. Lack of planning - it's obvious Bicester will expand so the park and ride will end up in the middle of the town eventually! Stop cancelling bus services. Fix the ring road. it's half done, and not good enough for today's traffic, let alone when the "eco" town is built. These people are going to have cars you know!

Once again how do people continue on with their journeys if going by rail? They will NOT walk to final destination which puts the kibosh on your active travel plans

1. Implement separate designated cycle lanes. 2. Eliminate traffic into Bicester Village, which causes huge traffic queues. Make access to Bicester Village by Park and Ride buses only. 3. Use smart traffic lights that operate according to traffic queueing. 5. Implement a proper and complete ring road around Bicester. 6. Stop messing around with so called hamburger roundabouts that do not work and just cause months of traffic misery in construction and waste of public money. 7. Use some common sense before allowing new business access to existing roads e.g. appalling and dangerous placement of Tesco access. 8. Move Tesco access to Bicester Avenue access point so traffic lights can be eliminated at the present Tesco entrance.

Organise buses to serve Bicester Village station.

Work with bus companies to reinstate the routes that have recently been lost. Look at the changes that have been made to the road layout in the last few years, and assess whether these need to be changed back to what worked in the first place. Have a proper independent assessment of the road layout around Bicester Village and the effect this has on traffic travelling south along Queens Avenue.

Bring back the local bus services to Langford and Bure Park and other areas where they have been stopped and charge more for car parks so that more people will use them.

Prioritise developing land for jobs before more housing in order to create local opportunities that stop people having to commute. Bicester has an appalling lack of quality employers, meaning a large percentage (majority?) of workers have to travel. For my own journey, to Aylesbury, there is no viable public transport option given I can do the journey in 30 mins by car.

Bicester seems to be well served with travel options

Cycle lanes and electric car charging points. Increase the cost of parking

Same as before

Vehicle low emission zone, particularly for buses and HGVs (the latter which traverse the area despite the weight restriction)

Banning al HGVs from driving through centre of Bicester. Any deliveries enter via London Road only.

School journey times are very busy in this area, this is important topic to look at, especially considering most people are local and shouldn't need cars. Include investigate ways to control volume of traffic through stated area, especially vehicles with generally higher emissions (lorries, busses etc...) can through traffic be directed to other routes?

The use of banbury and Buckingham roads as through roads causes lots of traffic on a daily basis. This is regular Bicester traffic, school traffic and Bicester Village traffic. We live on field street and see it every day. We feel more should be made if the ring roads. Discourage traffic from using these roads as quick through roads by adding measures which would make the ring road a more time efficient route?

Air quality in Weston on the Green / A34/M40 is extremely poor and steps must be taken to improve this.

I have no comment.

So air quality is below acceptable levels in the defined management area, but you are not interested in the the adjacent areas which must be feeding low quality air into the area, why? Why did you permit the incinerator so close to Bicester and upwind, what contamination is that spewing over Bicester, similarly what contamination is the energy plant on the so called ECO town throwing out? If as you seem are only blaming motor vehicles you could speed up the flow of traffic by having synchronised pelican or toucan crossings instead of giving priority to pedestrians particularly at school throw out times. You could dispense with the inane chicanes on Banbury Rd and Buckingham Rd as the do not serve any purpose apart from producing more pollution by slowing down the traffic. Of course the ultimate answer would be to build a proper dual carriageway ring road which any sensible Council would have done.

see previous as answer applies here too

Do live in Bicester, unable to comment.

Stop the growth of rapeseed in the fields. It plays havoc for hay fever/asthma sufferers. Lorries to only deliver during the night. To cut down on exhaust fumes during the day.

After considering the actions proposed and your comments so far, are there any actions that you are planning to personally take to improve air quality in Cherwell?

Find a job away from Banbury

I have invested in a hybrid car to reduce my emissions as I can't use public transport for my journey to work reduce single occupancy use of car

carry on cycling to work and try to avoid peak times when I need car.

Encourage through traffic to use alternative routes and reduce speed limits in town centres. Investigate possible mitigation measures such as a green wall on Hennef Way

I walk and cycle when I can.

Personally we have already invested in one electric car and are considering a second.

Regularly publish air quality data

There is little I can do as where I live is close to this area, and I can't always avoid travelling through it.

I will pressure councillors.

Nο

Sack the CDC member Tony Illot for failing to act on his portfolio. Tie all developments into a single plan which balances transport and air quality.

Again, kept the huge trucks to the ring road and Launton Road. The old houses are being ruined, the once beautiful roads of quant old houses are now unbearably busy.

Move?

No. That is the responsibility of CDC.

Promote bus and rail travel. Buses to some villages have ceased recently which has meant more people having to use cars.

I am keen to move out of Bicester because of traffic and air quality issues.

I presume you mean in Cherwell District. My children both walk to school and I work from home and use the train to travel to occasional meetings. I would like us to use bicycles more locally but I do not consider it safe on the road to do so.

I walk almost everywhere.

Travel into and out of urban areas outside of rush hour to avoid queuing traffic in and around town

Considering an electric car

I would love to use public transport to get to work but it just isn't available to me.

Driving less. Not using Banbury Gateway shopping centre. reducing the number of visits to town and shopping centres by car.

Move to somewhere that's taking it seriously? I already make most local journeys (up to 30 minutes walk) on foot

walking a lot more!

walk everywhere and use more public transport . might get a bike

I live in the centre of Bicester and we only own one vechicle. We only use the car for work or large shopping trips. Otherwise we tend to walk in and around town and my husband takes the train to work.

Change from diesel to petrol vehicle if there is any financial incentive

I am unable to do so due to the fact that I already ride share in with a co-worker, that co-worker uses their personal vehicle for Cherwell business and that there is no public transportation options connecting Buckingham and Banbury.

1 to move out of the area to one controlled by a Council who can control their planning applications sensibly We will replace our diesel car with a petrol car when the lease expires.

Keep on cycling wherever possible, or walk.

If you have any further comments on this consultation, please feel free to give them below.

shared green travel plans could be revisited and re-launched.

Easier to identify problems rather than solutions, so I don't envy you the task, but I do think that the welcome growth of Banbury etc will make this a major problem for the future, as air pollution of the industrial kind used to be. Investment in buses, cycle routes and relief roads will be needed at some point. Also car sharing for school runs as well as peak hour commuters - need to work with schools on that.

The chicane on the Buckingham road near Coopers Green increases pollution by making vehicles wait, often for a minute or more with engines running and then accelerate away through the gears thereby using far more fuel than if they were able to flow through at a steady 30mph. I live near there and also see many close calls where imaptient drivers try to get bthrough against oncoming traffic.

This has been going on for over 10 years. When will the government take Cherwell Council to court. When are the EU going to support air quality improvements in Bicester. When are central UK government going to do something?.

No

Sack all Bicester Councillors for failing to represent the needs of their constituents.

This has been too long coming and is too late for Bicester. I fear the damage is done and you councillors have ruined our town. Shame on you.

No doubt nothing sensible will actually be done as usual, except allowing Bicester Village and Tesco and other developers to do what they want, without thinking about the consequences.

It's good we are having this survey. However I am surprised at the emphasis on car travel rather than large lorries (on the one hand) and promoting cycling (on the other). Perhaps if this is for strategic reasons then at some stage it could be explained. Many thanks.

All the proposed actions will not reduce the air pollution, the traffic in Banbury is appalling. Only really radical measures will make a difference.

Nothing to add

Put children back onto buses and we'll all be better off

We do feel the park and ride should be promoted much more across Bicester and much sooner onvithe signs on the routes into town - ring roads and A41 from motorway junction. Is this service cheap enough to encourage users? We also think the lack of proper well signposted cycle lanes and busy traffic encourages people to cycle in the pavements which has become a daily hazard of walking to station or around town.

Nothing comes to mind.

I think more grants should be given to provide affordable solar panels/heat pumps etc. The green deal previously being run was rubbish. We would love to get rid of our oil fired central heating but as we live in a village without gas there are little options available. We have already insulated our windows and roof however encourage reduced parking charges for electric vehicles, introduce electric charging points. Please consult cyclists before introducing any further cycling initiatives, previous attempt was very poorly thought out and implemented.

Glossary of Terms

| Abbreviation | Description |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AQAP | Air Quality Action Plan - A detailed description of measures, outcomes, achievement dates and implementation methods, showing how the local authority intends to achieve air quality limit values' |
| AQMA | Air Quality Management Area – An area where air pollutant concentrations exceed / are likely to exceed the relevant air quality objectives. AQMAs are declared for specific pollutants and objectives |
| AQS | Air Quality Strategy |
| ASR | Air quality Annual Status Report |
| CRT | Canal and River Trust |
| Defra | Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs |
| EU | European Union |
| LAQM | Local Air Quality Management |
| NO | Nitrogen Oxide |
| NO ₂ | Nitrogen Dioxide |
| NO _x | Nitrogen Oxides |
| PM ₁₀ | Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10μm (micrometres or microns) or less |
| PM _{2.5} | Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5μm or less |
| tbc | To be confirmed |

LDS April 2017

CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL LOCAL DEVELOPMENT SCHEME April 2017



CONTENTS

| Section | | Pa | ge |
|---------|-------------------------------------------|----|----|
| 1 | Introduction | 4 | |
| 2. | Existing Development Plan | 4 | |
| 3. | Non-Statutory Local Plan | 4 | |
| 4. | Cherwell Local Plan Development Framework | 5 | |
| 5. | Neighbourhood Development Plans | 6 | |
| 6. | Programme for Local Development Documents | 6 | |

Appendix 1: LDS Timetable

1. Introduction

The Local Development Scheme (LDS) is a rolling business plan for the preparation of key planning policy documents that will be relevant to future planning decisions. It outlines the programme and resources for completion and adoption of each relevant planning document. It is a requirement of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended by s.111 of the Localism Act (2011)) that the Council prepares and maintains an LDS.

The LDS must specify:

- the local development documents which are to be development plan documents;
- the subject matter and geographical area to which each development plan document is to relate;
- which development plan documents (if any) are to be prepared jointly with one or more other local planning authorities;
- any matter or area in respect of which the authority have agreed (or propose to agree) to the constitution of a joint committee;
- the timetable for the preparation and revision of the development plan documents; and
- such other matters as are prescribed.

Development Plan Documents must be prepared in accordance with the LDS.

This LDS revises that approved by the Council's Executive on 4 January 2016.

It updates the programme for the production of the Council's key planning policy documents following adoption of the Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 (Part 1) on 20 July 2015. Policy Bicester 13 (Gavray Drive) of the Local Plan was re-adopted on 19 December 2016 in accordance with a Court Order dated 19 February 2016 and an addendum to the Local Plan Inspector's report received on 18 May 2016.

Potential changes to plan-making legislation and national planning policy have been highlighted in the recent housing White Paper 'Fixing our Broken Housing Market' (February 2017). Consequently, the LDS will need to be kept under review and a further update may be required later in 2017.

2. Existing Development Plan

As at 4 January 2016, the existing statutory Development Plan comprises the Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 (Part 1) adopted in July 2015 (incorporating the re-adopted Policy Bicester 13) and the saved policies of the adopted Cherwell Local Plan 1996 that have not been replaced by the new Local Plan Part 1 (see Appendix 7 of the adopted Local Plan). The Cherwell Local Plan 1996 was adopted in November 1996 and policies were saved from 27 September 2007. The Development Plan also includes the Hook Norton Neighbourhood Plan which was formally 'made' by the Council on 19 October 2015 and the Bloxham Neighbourhood Plan which was 'made' on 19 December 2016.

3. Non-Statutory Local Plan

The Council also has a Non-Statutory Cherwell Local Plan 2011. Originally produced as a replacement for the adopted Local Plan, the Plan was subject to first and second draft deposit stages and pre-inquiry changes were incorporated. However, the decision was taken by the Council to discontinue work on the plan on the 13 December 2004 and withdraw it from the statutory local plan process before the Public Inquiry. To avoid a policy void the Non-Statutory Cherwell Local Plan

2011 was approved by the Council as interim planning policy for development control purposes on the 13 December 2004. Over time, its policies are being superseded by new planning documents.

4. Cherwell Local Plan Development Framework

The Council's key planning policy documents will be as follows:

- Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 (Part 1) complete and adopted by the Council on 20 July 2015 (incorporating Policy Bicester 13 re-adopted on 19 December 2016). Comprises the main strategy document containing strategic development sites and policies and forms part of the statutory Development Plan.
- Partial Review of Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 (Part 1) under preparation. Consultation took place on an Issues Paper from 29 January 2016 11 March 2016 and on an Options Paper from 14 November 2016 9 January 2017. The Partial Review will contain a supplemental planning strategy and strategic development sites in order for the district to contribute in meeting the identified unmet housing needs of Oxford City. Upon adoption by the Council it will become part of the statutory Development Plan.
- Cherwell Local Plan 2011 2031 (Part 2): Development Management Policies and Sites under preparation. A preliminary scoping consultation was undertaken in May 2015. Consultation took place on an Issues Paper from 29 January 2016 11 March 2016. Local Plan Part 2 will contain detailed planning policies for considering planning applications and non-strategic site allocations. Upon adoption by the Council it will become part of the statutory Development Plan.
- Adopted Policies Map a map of Cherwell which illustrates geographically the application
 of the policies in the adopted Development Plan. An Interactive Adopted Policies Map is
 available on-line at http://www.cherwell.gov.uk/index.cfm?articleid=11535.
- Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) some complete and some in preparation or awaiting preparation. SPDs expand upon and provide further detail to policies in Development Plan Documents. They are statutory documents but do not form part of the Development Plan. The following SPDs have been, are being, or will be prepared:
 - North West Bicester SPD adopted on 22 February 2016
 - Banbury Masterplan SPD adopted on 19 December 2016
 - Kidlington Masterplan SPD adopted on 19 December 2016
 - Developer Contributions SPD under preparation
 - Bicester Masterplan SPD under preparation
 - Banbury Canalside SPD under preparation
 - Cherwell Design Guide under preparation
 - Bolton Road Development Area, Banbury SPD on hold
 - Sustainable Buildings in Cherwell SPD to be prepared
- Annual (or Authorities) Monitoring Reports (AMRs) produced each year to monitor progress in producing Local Development Documents and implementation of policies.
- Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) sets out how communities and stakeholders can expect to be engaged in the preparation of planning documents and in the consideration

of planning applications. A new SCI was consulted upon from 29 January to 11 March 2016 and adopted by the Council on 18 July 2016.

• Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule — work on a potential Levy commenced in February 2015. Comprises a schedule of charges for contributions to off-site infrastructure, payable by developers. Consultation on a preliminary charging schedule was undertaken from 12 February to 25 March 2016. A draft charging schedule was consulted upon from 14 November 2016 to 9 January 2017. On 7 February 2017, the Government published the housing white paper Fixing our Broken Housing Market in which it was announced, "The Government will examine the options for reforming the system of developer contributions including ensuring direct benefit for communities, and will respond to the independent review and make an announcement at Autumn Budget 2017" (para. 2.29). The independent review A New Approach to Developer Contributions (October 2016) has been published. Work on a potential CIL has been put on hold until there is more certainty about future changes to legislation and Government policy.

5. Neighbourhood Development Plans

Neighbourhood Plans can be produced by Town or Parish Councils or other relevant bodies to set out policies (however expressed) in relation to the development and use of land in the whole or any part of a particular, specified neighbourhood area. They are not prepared by Cherwell District Council but are submitted to it ahead of independent examination and a referendum. They are not legally defined as Development Plan Documents but do become part of the statutory Development Plan upon their adoption.

In addition to the 'made' Hook Norton and Bloxham Neighbourhood Plans (see Section 2), the following Parishes presently either have designated Neighbourhood Areas, have made applications for an area to be designated or are actively preparing plans:

- Adderbury
- Deddington
- Mid-Cherwell (11 Parishes)
- Weston-on-the-Green
- Bodicote
- Stratton Audley
- Merton

6. Programme for Local Development Documents & Future National Reforms

The programme for preparing individual documents is set out in the schedules below. The Council is expected to produce documents in accordance with the schedules. If significant changes in circumstances occur, the LDS will be reviewed.

The Government has recently highlighted potential changes to the planning system in the recent housing White Paper 'Fixing our Broken Housing Market' (February 2017). It has also previously consulted on changes to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). More formal mechanisms for Joint Spatial Plans and new requirements for the review of Local Plans may affect the programmes for producing policy documents. In addition, the work of the National Infrastructure Commission (NIC) (particularly in relation to the Oxford-Cambridge corridor) may also have

implications for Local Authorities in this area. Consequently, a further update of the LDS may need to be presented to Members later in 2017.

| Schedule 6.1 | Partial Review of the Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 (Part 1): Oxford's Unmet Housing Needs | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Subject Matter | Partial review of Part 1 of the adopted Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 to help meet the identified unmet housing needs from elsewhere in the Oxfordshire Housing Market Area and arising infrastructure requirements. Builds upon countywide joint working and follows the 'Post SHMA Strategic Work Programme' agreed by the [Shadow] Oxfordshire Growth Board on 20 November 2014. On 26 September 2016, the Oxfordshire Growth Board approved an apportionment of Oxford's unmet housing need (approximately 15,000 homes) to the Oxfordshire District Councils. Cherwell has been asked to accommodate an additional 4,400 homes (2011-2031). | |
| Geographical Area | Cherwell District | |
| Status | Development Plan Document (DPD) | |
| Timetable | Countywide working on identifying the unmet need and apportionment | Completed 26 September 2016 |
| | Formal Commencement (adoption of Local Plan Part 1) | 20 July 2015 |
| | District Wide Issues Consultation (Regulation 18) | 29 January 2016 – 11 March 2016 |
| | District Wide Options Consultation (Regulation 18) | 14 November 2016 – 9 January 2017 |
| | Preparation of Proposed Submission DPD | January 2017 to May 2017 |
| | Consultation on Proposed Submission DPD | 30 May – 10 July 2017 |
| | Submission (Regulation 22) | September 2017 |
| | Examination (Regulation 24) (TBC) | September 2017 – March 2018 |
| | Examination Hearings (Regulation 24) (TBC) | November – December 2017 |
| | Receipt and Publication of the Inspector's Report (Regulation 25) (TBC) | April 2018 |
| | Adoption (Regulation 26) (TBC) | May 2018 |
| Notes: Hearing dates and subsequent programme subject to the Planning Inspectorate. | | nme subject to confirmation from |
| Management | Overseen by Head of Service for Strategic Planning and the Economy. Regular | |
| Arrangements | reports to CDC Executive. | |
| Resources Required | Planning Policy and Growth Strategy team; input from other Council services, neighbouring authorities and consultees; Programme Officer and Planning Inspectorate. | |
| Monitoring and review mechanisms | Annual Monitoring Report | |

| Schedule 6.2 | Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 (Part 2): Development Management Policies and Sites | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Subject Matter | Detailed planning policies to assist implementation of strategic policies and the development management process. Identification and delivery of non-strategic development sites for housing, employment, open space and recreation, travelling communities and other land uses. | |
| Geographical Area | Cherwell District | |
| Status | Development Plan Document (DPD) | |
| Timetable | Initial District Wide Public Notification May 2015 (Regulation 18) | |
| | District Wide Review of Previous Issues and Options Work and Preparation | April – December 2015 |
| | District Wide Issues Consultation (Regulation 18) | January - February 2016 |
| | District Wide Options Consultation (Regulation 18) | September – October 2017 |
| | Preparation of Proposed Submission Plan | October – December 2017 |
| | Consultation on Proposed Submission Plan | January – February 2018 |
| | Submission (Regulation 22) | April 2018 |
| | Examination (Regulation 24) (TBC) | April 2018 – August 2018 |
| | Examination Hearings (Regulation 24) (TBC) | June 2018 |
| | Receipt and Publication of the Inspector's Report (Regulation 25) (TBC) | October 2018 |
| | Adoption (Regulation 26) | November 2018 |
| | Notes: Hearing dates and subsequent programme subject to confirmation from the Planning Inspectorate | |
| Management Arrangements | Overseen by Head of Service for Strategic Planning and the Economy. Regular reports to CDC Executive. | |
| Resources Required | Planning Policy and Growth Strategy team; input from other Council services, neighbouring authorities and consultees; Programme Officer and Planning Inspectorate. | |
| Monitoring and review mechanisms | Annual Monitoring Report | |

| Schedule 6.3 | Developer Contributions SPD | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Subject Matter | Reviews and updates the current draft Planning Obligations SPD in the light of the policies set out in the Local Plan (Part 1) | |
| Geographical | Cherwell District | |
| Area | | |
| Status | SPD & Local Development Document (LDD) | |
| Timetable | Commencement / Preparation February 2016 | |
| | Initial Consultation (Regulation 12) | On-going to July 2016 |
| | Preparation of Draft SPD | June 2016 – October 2016 |
| | Consultation on Draft SPD (Regulation | 14 November 2016 - 9 January |
| | 12/13) | 2017 |

| | Further Consultation on Draft SPD (Regulation 12/13) | July-August 2017 |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| | Preparation of Final SPD | August 2017 |
| | Adoption (Regulation 14) | September 2017 |
| Management | Overseen by Head of Service for Strategic Planning and the Economy. Regular | |
| Arrangements | reports to CDC Executive. | |
| Resources | Planning Policy and Growth Strategy team; Development Management Team; | |
| Required | input from other Council services, neighbouring authorities and consultees. | |
| Monitoring | Annual Monitoring Report | |
| and review | | |
| mechanisms | | |

| Schedule 6.4 | Bicester Garden Town Masterplan Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Subject Matter | Builds upon and provides further detail to Local Plan policies for the town of | |
| | Bicester. | |
| Geographical | Bicester | |
| Area | | |
| Status | SPD & Local Development Document (LDD) | |
| | Re-commencement and preparation | January 2016 – May 2017 |
| | (Bicester Garden Town) | |
| | Initial Consultation (Regulation 12) | May 2017 |
| | Preparation of SPD | June – September 2017 |
| | Consultation (Regulation 12/13) | October-November 2017 |
| | Preparation of Final SPD | November 2017–January 2018 |
| | Adoption (Regulation 14) February 2018 | |
| | Notes: Masterplan re-commenced in view of E | Bicester Garden Town |
| | announcement. | |
| Management | Overseen by Head of Service for Strategic Planning and the Economy in | |
| Arrangements | conjunction with the Commercial Director (Bio | ester). Regular reports to CDC |
| | Executive. | |
| Resources | Bicester Delivery Team, Planning Policy and Gr | rowth Strategy team; input from |
| Required | other Council services, neighbouring authorities and consultees. | |
| Monitoring | Annual Monitoring Report | |
| and review | | |
| mechanisms | | |

| Schedule 6.5 | Cherwell Design Guide SPD | |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Subject Matter | Expands upon and provides further detail to Local Plan policies for the town of Bicester | |
| Geographical | Cherwell District | |
| Area | | |
| Status | SPD & Local Development Document (LDD) | |
| Timetable | Commencement / Preparation | June 2016 – February 2017 |
| | Initial Consultation (Regulation 12) | February - March 2017 & May |
| | | 2017 |
| | Preparation of Draft SPD | March-June 2017 |

| | Consultation (Regulation 12/13) | June –July 2017 |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Preparation of Final SPD | July-September 2017 |
| | Adoption (Regulation 14) | October 2017 |
| | | _ |
| Management | Overseen by Head of Development Management in conjunction with Head of | |
| Arrangements | Strategic Planning and the Economy | |
| Resources | Design and Conservation Team with support from Development Management & | |
| Required | Planning Policy and Growth Strategy teams; input from other Council services, | |
| | neighbouring authorities and consultees. | |
| Monitoring | Annual Monitoring Report | |
| and review | | |
| mechanisms | | |

| Schedule 6.6 | Banbury Canalside Development Area Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) | |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Subject Matter | Expands upon and provides further detail to Local Plan policies for the | |
| | development area of Canalside, Banbury. | |
| Geographical | Canalside and Banbury town centre | |
| Area | | |
| Status | SPD & Local Development Document (LDD) | |
| | Re-commencement & Preparation of 2 nd | July 2015 onwards |
| | Draft SPD (Following Local Plan adoption in | |
| | July 2015) | |
| | Initial Consultation (Regulation 12) | April – May 2018 |
| | Preparation of Draft SPD | June – July 2018 |
| | Consultation (Regulation 12/13) | August 2018 |
| | Preparation of Final SPD | September 2018 |
| | Adoption (Regulation 14) | October 2018 |
| Management | Overseen by Head of Service for Strategic Plan | ning and the Economy. Regular |
| Arrangements | reports to CDC Executive. | |
| Resources | Planning Policy and Growth Strategy team; input from other Council services, | |
| Required | neighbouring authorities and consultees. | |
| Monitoring | Annual Monitoring Report | |
| and review | | |
| mechanisms | | |

| Schedule 6.7 | Bolton Road Development Area Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Subject Matter | Expands upon and provides further detail to Local Plan policies for the Development Area at Bolton Road, Banbury. | |
| Geographical Area | Bolton Road and Banbury town centre | |
| Status | SPD & Local Development Document (LDD) | |
| Timetable | Re-commencement & Preparation of 2 nd Draft SPD Initial Consultation (Regulation 12) January 2018 onwards April – May 2018 | |

| | Preparation of Draft SPD | June – July 2018 | |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| | Consultation (Regulation 12/13) | August 2018 | |
| | Preparation of Final SPD | September 2018 | |
| | Adoption (Regulation 14) | October 2018 | |
| Management | Overseen by Head of Service for Strategic Planning and the Economy. Regular | | |
| Arrangements | reports to CDC Executive. | | |
| Resources | Planning Policy and Growth Strategy team; input from other Council services, | | |
| Required | neighbouring authorities and consultees. | | |
| Monitoring | Annual Monitoring Report | | |
| and review | | | |
| mechanisms | | | |

| Schedule 6.8 | Sustainable Buildings in Cherwell Supplementary Planning Document | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Subject Matter | Expands upon and provides further detail to Local Plan (Part 1) policies for the environment and design. | |
| Geographical Area | Cherwell District | |
| Status | SPD & Local Development Document (LDD) | |
| Timetable | Commencement & Preparation January 2018 onwards | |
| | Initial Consultation (Regulation 12) | April – May 2018 |
| | Preparation of Draft SPD | June – July 2018 |
| | Consultation (Regulation 12/13) | August 2018 |
| | Preparation of Final SPD | September 2018 |
| | Adoption (Regulation 14) | October 2018 |
| Management Arrangements | Overseen by Head of Service for Strategic Planning and the Economy. Regular reports to CDC Executive. | |
| Resources Required | Jointly produced by Planning Policy and Growth Strategy team; Design and Conservation team and Bicester Delivery Team, input from other Council services, neighbouring authorities and consultees. | |
| Monitoring and review | Annual Monitoring Report | |
| mechanisms | | |

Appendix 1: LDS Timetable

| | | | | 2015 2016 2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2018 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------|---|---|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|-----|------|---|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|---|------|------|-----|-----|----|----|---|------|----|----|------|---|---|----|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Document | Туре | J | F | М | Α | М | J | J | Α | S (| 0 1 | V [| ٠, | JF | - M | 1 A | М | П | J . | A S | 6 0 | N | D | J | F | М | Α | М | | J A | S | 0 | N | D | J | F | м | 4 N | | J | A | s | 0 | N | D |
| 1 Partial Review of the Local Plan (Part 1) | DPD | | | | | | | С | | | | | 10 | C IC | : | | | | | | | FC | FC | FC | | | | FC | FC F | С | S | | Н | Н | | | | A | | | | | | | _ |
| 2 Local Plan (Part 2) | DPD | | | | | С | | | | | | | 10 | C 10 | ; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | FC | FC | | | FC I | FC | , | 3 | Н | | | | | A | _ |
| 3 Developer Contributions SPD | SPD | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | : 10 | ; | | | IC | | | FC | FC | FC | | | | | F | C F | C A | | | | | | | | | | | | \Box | \Box | _ |
| 4 Bicester Masterplan SPD | SPD | | | | | | | | | | | | G | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | IC | | | | FC | FC | | | Α | | | | | | | \Box | | _ |
| 5 Cherwell Design Guide SPD | SPD | | | | | | | | | | | | Т | | | | | С | | | | | | | IC | IC | | IC I | FC F | -C | | Α | | | | | | | | | | | \Box | | |
| 6 Banbury Canalside SPD | SPD | | | | | | | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | IC | IC | | | FC | | Α | | |
| 7 Bolton Road, Banbury SPD | SPD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | F | C | | | | | | | Α | | | | | | | | R | | IC | : IC | | | FC | | Α | | |
| 8 Sustainable Buildings in Cherwell SPD | SPD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | C | | IC | : IC | | | FC | | Α | | \Box |

Commencemen

IC Initial Consultation

FC Further Consultation

S Submission

Hearings (Public Examination)

A Adoption

Re-commencement

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3, 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted